Holomorphic functions which preserve holomorphic semigroups

Charles Batty

University of Oxford

London Mathematical Society Regional Meeting Birmingham, 15 September 2016

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta_{x} u \qquad (x \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}, \ t \geq 0), \\ &u(t,x) = 0 \qquad (x \in \partial \Omega), \\ &u(0,x) = f(x) \qquad (x \in \Omega). \end{split}$$

Te operator and boundary conditions can be varied in many ways.

Regard *u* as a function of *t* with values in a Banach space *X* of functions, for example $L^{p}(\Omega)$ or $C(\overline{\Omega})$. Rewrite the equation as an ODE for *u*:

$$u'(t) = \Delta u(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \qquad u(0) = f.$$

Abstract Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) = -Au(t)$$
 $(t \ge 0),$ $u(0) = x,$

where $u : [0, \infty) \to X$, A is a closed, densely defined operator on X, and $x \in X$. Solution should be

$$u(t)=e^{-tA}x.$$

What does e^{-tA} mean? Is it a bounded operator?

Second-order problem

$$u''(t) = -Au(t)$$
 $(t \ge 0),$ $u(0) = x, u'(0) = y$

should have solution

$$u(t) = \cos\left(t\sqrt{A}\right)x + \sin\left(t\sqrt{A}\right)\left(\sqrt{A}\right)^{-1}y.$$

Bounded holomorphic C_0 -semigroup on X:

$$T: \Sigma_{\theta} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \theta \} \to \mathcal{B}(X), \quad \text{holomorphic}$$

$$T(z_1 + z_2) = T(z_1)T(z_2), \qquad \lim_{z \to 0} \|T(z)x - x\| = 0,$$

$$\sup\{\|T(z)\| : z \in \Sigma_{\theta}\} < \infty,$$

Sectorial operator on X:

$$egin{aligned} A:D(A)\subset X o X, & ext{linear, } D(A) ext{ dense, } & 0< heta<\pi, \ & \sigma(A)\subset\overline{\Sigma}_ heta, & \|(\lambda+A)^{-1}\|\leq rac{C_ heta}{|\lambda|} & (\lambda\in\Sigma_{\pi- heta}) \end{aligned}$$

Sectorial angle of A: the infimum ω_A of all such $\theta \in (0, \pi)$

The following are equivalent:

- -A generates a bounded holomorphic semigroup T,
- A is sectorial with $\omega_A < \pi/2$.

$$T(z) = \exp(-zA) = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-\lambda z} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda \qquad (z \in \Sigma_{\pi/2 - \omega_A})$$

The solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem extend holomorphically in t, so in particular they are in $C^{\infty}(0,\infty)$ for arbitrary initial data.

Examples: many second-order elliptic differential operators

More generally, a (bounded) C_0 -semigroup is a (bounded) function $\mathcal{T} : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfying

$$T(t_1 + t_2) = T(t_1)T(t_2), \qquad \lim_{t\to 0} ||T(t)x - x|| = 0.$$

The *generator* is the closed, densely defined operator -A on X where

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt \qquad (x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ = \Sigma_{\pi/2}).$$

Then $T(\cdot)x$ is a classical solution of the abstract Cauchy problem if $x \in D(A)$.

If -A generates a bounded C_0 -semigroup then A is sectorial with $\omega_A \leq \pi/2$. The converse is not true.

Let $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a convolution semigroup of sub-probability measures on $[0,\infty)$, so

$$\mu_{t_1} * \mu_{t_2} = \mu_{t_1+t_2}, \qquad \mu_t \to \delta_0 \text{ vaguely as } t \to 0.$$

They arise as transition probabilities of certain stochastic processes. Then there is a (unique) function $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu_t(s) = e^{-tf(\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda > 0, t \ge 0).$$

The functions f that arise in this way are known as *Bernstein* functions or by various other names including Laplace exponents. Soon we will replace λ by an operator A in this formula.

Bernstein functions

A function $f:(0,\infty) o (0,\infty)$ is a Bernstein function if and only if f is C^∞ and

$$(-1)^{n-1}f^{(n)}(t) \ge 0$$
 $(n \ge 1, t > 0).$

Equivalently, there is a positive measure ν and $a,b\geq 0$ such that f has the Lévy–Khintchine representation

$$f(\lambda) = a + b\lambda + \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-s\lambda}\right) \, d\nu(s), \qquad \int_0^\infty \frac{s}{1+s} d\nu(s) < \infty.$$

Then f extends, by the same formula, to a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}_+$.

Examples: $f(z) = z^{\alpha}$ where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, $f(z) = \log(1 + z)$, $f(z) = 1 - e^{-z}$.

Now we will replace λ or z by an operator A.

Subordinate semigroups

Suppose that -A generates a bounded C_0 -semigroup T, and let f be a Bernstein function, with convolution semigroup (μ_t) . We can define a "subordinate" bounded C_0 -semigroup T_f by:

$$T_f(t)x = \int_0^\infty T(s)x \ d\mu_t(s) \qquad (x \in X).$$

We can define an operator $f_0(A) : D(A) \to X$ by using the Levy-Khintchine representation

$$f_0(A)x = ax + bAx + \int_0^\infty (x - T(s)x) d\nu(s) \qquad (x \in D(A)),$$

and then take f(A) to be the closure of $f_0(A)$.

Then -f(A) is the generator of T_f (Bochner (1949), Phillips, Nelson)

Question: If T is a bounded holomorphic semigroup and f is a Bernstein function, is T_f also holomorphic?

We assume that A is sectorial, and (for convenience) that A has dense range. Then A is injective, and A^{-1} : Ran $(A) \rightarrow X$ is sectorial of the same angle.

Let $\theta > \omega_A$. For many holomorphic $f : \Sigma_{\theta} \to \mathbb{C}$, one can define f(A) as a closed operator. There are several different methods, but they are all consistent, and have reasonably good functional calculus properties.

- Fractional powers A^{α} (Balakrishnan)
- Complete Bernstein functions (Hirsch)
- Bernstein functions (Bochner, Phillips, Schilling et al)
- Holomorphic functions with at most polynomial growth as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|z| \rightarrow 0$ (McIntosh, Haase)

→ Ξ →

Square-function estimates

If $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ for all $f \in H^{\infty}(\Sigma_{\theta})$, then f has bounded H^{∞} -calculus on Σ_{θ} .

Theorem (Cowling-Doust-McIntosh-Yagi)

Suppose that A is sectorial with dense range. The following are equivalent:

- (i) A has bounded H^{∞} -calculus on some sector Σ_{ψ} ;
- (ii) There exists $\theta \in (\omega_A, \pi)$ such that, for each $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$,

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}} \left| \langle A(\lambda - A)^{-2} x, x^* \rangle \right| \ |d\lambda| < \infty.$$

Many classes of differential operators have bounded H^{∞} -calculus.

There exist sectorial operators (of angle 0, on Hilbert space) which do not have bounded H^{∞} -calculus.

Theorem

If A is sectorial with $\omega_A < \pi/2$ and f is a Bernstein function, then f(A) is sectorial with $\omega_{f(A)} \leq \omega_A$.

Balakrishnan (1960): Fractional powers: $\omega_{A^{\alpha}} = \alpha \omega_A$

Hirsch (1972): complete Bernstein functions (sectoriality, no angle)

Berg et al (1993): angle for complete Bernstein functions, partial results for some other Bernstein functions

Gomilko and Tomilov (2015): all Bernstein functions, $\omega_{f(A)} \leq \omega_A$.

Given sectorial A and holomorphic f, when is f(A) sectorial? More specifically,

- Q1. For which f is f(A) sectorial (with $\omega_{f(A)} \leq \omega_A$) for all sectorial A?
- Q2. For which A is f(A) sectorial for all suitable f?

Q1 might be considered for the class of all Banach spaces X, or just for Hilbert spaces or some other class.

The set of functions f as in Q1 is closed under sums, positive scalar multiples, reciprocals and composition.

$\mathcal{NP}_+\text{-}functions$

For Q1, f should be

- holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_+ = \Sigma_{\pi/2}$
- map \mathbb{C}_+ to \mathbb{C}_+
- map (0, ∞) to (0, ∞)

Such a function is a *positive real* function (Cauer, Brune; Brown) or an \mathcal{NP}_+ -function. Any \mathcal{NP}_+ -function maps Σ_{θ} into Σ_{θ} for each $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$.

 \mathcal{NP}_+ is closed under sums, positive scalar multiples, reciprocals, compositions. It consists of the functions of the form

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2z}{(1+z^2) + t(1-z^2)} \, d\mu(t)$$

for some finite positive Borel measure μ on [-1, 1]. So estimates for the integrand which are uniform in t provide estimates for |f(z)| subject to f(1) = 1.

Question 2

For which A is f(A) sectorial for all $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$?

Theorem

Let A be a sectorial operator on a Banach space X with dense range and $\omega_A < \pi/2$, and let $\theta \in (\omega_A, \pi/2)$. Consider the following statements.

- (i) A has bounded H^{∞} -calculus on Σ_{θ} .
- (ii) For every $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$, f(A) is a sectorial operator of angle (at most) ω .
- (iii) For every $f \in NP_+$, -f(A) is the generator of a bounded C_0 -semigroup.

(iv) A has bounded H^{∞} -calculus on \mathbb{C}_+ .

Then

(i)
$$\implies$$
 (ii) \implies (iii) \iff (iv).

If X is a Hilbert space, all four properties are equivalent.

Let $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$, and let

$$f(0+) = \lim_{t \to 0+} f(t), \qquad f(\infty) = \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t)$$

if these limits exist in $[0,\infty]$.

Proposition

Let $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$ be a function such that $f(\infty)$ does not exist in $[0,\infty]$, and let X be a Banach space with a conditional basis. There exists a sectorial operator A on X, with angle 0, such that -f(A) does not generate a C_0 -semigroup.

So we restrict attention to \mathcal{NP}_+ -functions for which f(0+) and $f(\infty)$ exist.

Let $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$ and assume that $f(\infty)$ exists. Let q > 2, $z \in \Sigma_{\pi/q}$, $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\pi/q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda+f(z))^{-1}\\ &=\frac{1}{\lambda+f(\infty)}+\frac{q}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{Im}\,f(te^{i\pi/q})\,t^{q-1}}{(\lambda+f(te^{i\pi/q}))(\lambda+f(te^{-i\pi/q}))(t^q+z^q)}\,dt,\end{aligned}$$

where the integral may be improper.

We would like to replace λ by a sectorial operator A, but does the integral converge in any sense? Can it be estimated in a way which shows that f(A) is sectorial?

Resolvent formula for operators

For a sectorial operator A, we want the formula

$$(\lambda + f(A))^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda + f(\infty)} + \frac{q}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lim f(te^{i\pi/q}) t^{q-1}}{(\lambda + f(te^{i\pi/q}))(\lambda + f(te^{-i\pi/q}))} (t^q + A^q)^{-1} dt.$$

Theorem

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$, and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\operatorname{Im} f(te^{i\beta})|}{(r+f(t))^2} \, \frac{dt}{t} \le \frac{C_\beta}{r}, \qquad (r > 0, \, \beta \in (0, \pi/2)). \qquad (\mathcal{E})$$

1. f(0+) and $f(\infty)$ exist.

2. If A is sectorial of angle $\omega_A < \pi/2$, then the resolvent formula above holds and f(A) is sectorial of angle at most ω_A .

Another condition

The condition (\mathcal{E}) on f is preserved by sums, positive scalar multiples, reciprocals, and $f \mapsto f(1/z)$.

 $f \in \mathcal{NP}_+$ satisfies (\mathcal{D}) if, for each $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$ there exist a, b, c, a', b', c' > 0 such that

- f is monotonic on (0, a/b) and $|\operatorname{Im} f(te^{i\beta})| \le ct |f'(bt)|$ for t < a/b, and
- f is monotonic on $(a'/b', \infty)$ and $|\operatorname{Im} f(te^{i\beta})| \le c't|f'(b't)|$ for t > a'/b'.

Theorem

- 1. Any Bernstein function satisfies (D), with a = b = a' = b' = 1.
- 2. Assume that f satisfies (D). Then f satisfies (E). Hence f(A) is sectorial whenever A is sectorial with $\omega_A < \pi/2$.

Examples of (\mathcal{D})

z and $1 - e^{-z}$ are both Bernstein functions, and so are their square roots. Their geometric mean $\sqrt{z(1 - e^{-z})}$ is not Bernstein, but it is \mathcal{NP}_+ and it satisfies (\mathcal{D}).

In fact, if f_1, \ldots, f_n are Bernstein, and the product $f_1 \cdots f_n$ is \mathcal{NP}_+ then the product satisfies (\mathcal{D}) .

In particular the geometric mean of any number of Bernstein functions satisfies (\mathcal{D}) .

If f is Bernstein and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then

$$g_{\alpha}(z) := [f(z^{\alpha})]^{1/\alpha}$$

is \mathcal{NP}_+ and satisfies (D). If $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ then g_α is Bernstein, but this is not known for $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$.