



ACADEMIC
PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Algebra 257 (2002) 249–264

JOURNAL OF
Algebra

www.academicpress.com

An equivariant analogue of Glauberman's *ZJ*-Theorem

Paul Flavell

*The School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT,
United Kingdom*

Received 1 March 2002

Communicated by Michel Broué

Dedicated to J.G. Thompson on his 70th birthday

1. Introduction

We will prove an analogue of Glauberman's *ZJ*-Theorem, [4] and [6, Theorem 8.2.1, p. 279], that can be used to study finite groups that admit a coprime group of automorphisms. This analogue is unusual in that no hypothesis of *p*-stability is required. Used in conjunction with the Bender Method, it may make it possible to prove very general results about finite groups that admit a coprime group of automorphisms. The reader is referred to [7] and [8] for a fuller discussion of the Bender Method, Glauberman's *ZJ*-Theorem and *p*-stability.

Before stating the main result of this paper, we introduce some notation. If *R* and *G* are groups then we say that *R acts coprimely on G* if *R* acts as a group of automorphisms on *G*, if *R* and *G* have coprime orders and if at least one of *R* or *G* is soluble. Suppose that *R* acts coprimely on *G* and that *p* is a prime. Define

$$O_p(G; R) = \bigcap \mathfrak{U}_G^*(R, p).$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{U}_G(R, p)$ is the set of *R*-invariant *p*-subgroups of *G* and that $\mathfrak{U}_G^*(R, p)$ is the set of maximal members of $\mathfrak{U}_G(R, p)$ under inclusion. Sylow's Theorems for Groups with Operators [6, Theorem 6.2.2, p. 224] asserts that $\mathfrak{U}_G^*(R, p)$ consists of Sylow *p*-subgroups of *G* and that $C_G(R)$ acts transitively by conjugation on $\mathfrak{U}_G^*(R, p)$. It is a consequence of this last assertion that

E-mail address: p.j.flavell@bham.ac.uk.

$O_p(G; R)$ is characterized as being the unique maximal $RC_G(R)$ -invariant p -subgroup of G .

The main result proved in this paper is the following:

Theorem A. *Suppose that the group R acts coprimely on the group $G \neq 1$, that $p > 3$ is a prime and that $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$. Set $P = O_p(G; R)$. Then*

$$K^\infty(P) \trianglelefteq G.$$

In particular, P contains a nontrivial characteristic subgroup that is normal in G .

Theorem A is proved by invoking Glauberman's K^∞ -Theorem [5, Theorem A] and Theorem B below on modules. The original idea was to use $ZJ(P)$ instead of $K^\infty(P)$ and to mimic the proof of Glauberman's ZJ -Theorem, using Theorem B as a substitute for p -stability. However the proof of the ZJ -Theorem requires the Frattini Argument, which cannot be applied to the subgroups $O_p(G; R)$. Fortunately, there is no such impediment to applying the rather less well known K^∞ -Theorem.

We remark that the exact definition of $K^\infty(P)$ is unimportant for applications, rather it is the conclusion that some nontrivial characteristic subgroup of P is normal in G . In fact, the definition of $K^\infty(P)$ is more formidable than the definition of $ZJ(P)$. But curiously the reverse observation is true for the proofs of the K^∞ and ZJ -Theorems.

Before stating Theorem B we recall that if V is a G -module and $g \in G$ then g acts quadratically on V if $[V, g, g] = 0$ and $[V, g] \neq 0$. If V is a faithful G -module then we may regard G as being contained in the ring $\text{End}(V)$ and we often express the condition $[V, g, g] = 0$ as $(g - 1)^2 = 0$. Of course, $[V, g] \neq 0$ is just another way of saying that g acts nontrivially on V .

Theorem B. *Suppose that the group R acts coprimely on the group G , that $p > 3$ is a prime and that V is a faithful GR -module over a field of characteristic p . Then any element of $O_p(G; R)$ that acts quadratically on V is contained in $O_p(G)$.*

The proof of Theorem B requires the following result of independent interest.

Theorem C. *Suppose that G is a group, that $p > 3$ is a prime, that V is a faithful G -module over a field of characteristic p and that L is a 2-local subgroup of G . Then any element of $O_p(L)$ that acts quadratically on V is contained in $O_p(G)$.*

We remark that the spin module for \hat{A}_n shows that the conclusion of Theorem C may fail if $p = 3$.

Finally, we give an example of how Theorem A can be used to study the automorphism group of a simple group. It is a well known consequence of the

Classification of Finite Simple Groups that an abelian group that acts coprimely and faithfully on a simple group must be cyclic. The proof of the following corollary to Theorem A sheds a little light on this observation.

Corollary D. *Suppose that the abelian group R acts coprimely and faithfully on the simple group G . Let \mathcal{M} be the set of proper subgroups of G that are maximal subject to being R -invariant and containing $C_G(R)$.*

Suppose that $p > 3$ and that $F^(M) = O_p(M)$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then R is cyclic.*

2. Preliminaries to the proof of Theorem C

Suppose that G is a group and that p is a prime. For any p -element $a \in G$ define:

$$\mathcal{X}_G(a) = \{X \leq G \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } a \in X, \\ \text{(ii) } a \notin O_p(X) \text{ and} \\ \text{(iii) } a \in O_p(Y) \text{ whenever } a \in Y < X \end{array}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{X}_G(a) \neq \emptyset$ provided $a \notin O_p(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{X}_G(a)$. Then:*

- (i) $X = \langle a, a^x \rangle$ for some $x \in X$.
- (ii) a is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of X .

Proof. (i) is a consequence of the Baer–Suzuki Theorem [1, Theorem 1.1, p. 4] and the fact that $a \notin O_p(X)$. (ii) follows from Wielandt’s First Maximizer Lemma [9, Lemma 7.3.1, p. 222]. Alternatively, J.H. Walter’s proof of the Baer–Suzuki Theorem as given in [1] can be trivially adapted to prove (ii). \square

The following result is essentially due to Glauberman [2, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume the following:*

- (i) G is a group, p is an odd prime and a is a p -element of G .
- (ii) V is a faithful G -module over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p .
- (iii) a acts quadratically on V .
- (iv) $X \in \mathcal{X}_G(a)$.

Then the following hold:

- (a) X contains a unique involution.

(b) Let i be the unique involution in X . Set

$$X_0 = C_X(C_V(i)).$$

Then X_0 is a normal subgroup of X with index 1 or p , $X = X_0\langle a \rangle$ and there exists $x \in X_0$ such that $X = \langle a, a^x \rangle$.

(c) If $C_V(X) = 0$ then $X \cong SL_2(p)$ and V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules.

Remark. A natural $SL_2(p)$ -module over an arbitrary field is an $SL_2(p)$ -module that has a basis with respect to which any matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is represented by itself.

3. The proof of Theorem C

Assume Theorem C to be false and consider a counterexample with $|G|$ and then $\dim V$ minimized. Then

$$a \notin O_p(G). \quad (1)$$

We may suppose that the field of definition of V is algebraically closed. Since L is a 2-local subgroup of G there is a 2-subgroup $S \neq 1$ such that $L = N_G(S)$. Now p is odd and $a \in O_p(L) \leq C_L(S)$ whence $[a, S] = 1$. Choose S_1 with $S \leq S_1 \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(a))$. Then $a \in C_G(S_1) \leq C_G(S)$ so $a \in O_p(C_G(S_1)) \leq O_p(N_G(S_1))$. Hence we may replace S by S_1 to suppose that

$$S \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(a)). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the minimality of $|G|$ implies that

$$G = \langle a^G \rangle S. \quad (3)$$

Step 1. G acts irreducibly on V .

Proof. Assume false. Let U be a G -composition factor of V and set $\bar{G} = G/C_G(U)$. Note that $O_p(\bar{G}) = 1$ since the field of definition for U has characteristic p .

We claim that $[\langle \bar{a}^{\bar{G}} \rangle, \bar{S}] = 1$. Let H be the inverse image of $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})$ in G , so that $L \leq H$. If $H = G$ then $\bar{S} \leq \bar{G}$ and as $[\bar{a}, \bar{S}] = 1$, the claim follows. If $H \neq G$ then the minimality of $|G|$ and $\dim V$ forces $a \in O_p(H)$ and then $\bar{a} \in O_p(\bar{G}) = 1$. Thus the claim follows in this case also.

What we have just done implies that

$$[\langle a^G \rangle, S] \leq \bigcap C_G(U),$$

where the intersection is over all G -composition factors U of V . This intersection is well known to equal $O_p(G)$. Since $G = \langle a^G \rangle S$ it follows that $SO_p(G) \trianglelefteq G$. Now S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $SO_p(G)$ so the Frattini Argument yields

$$G = N_G(S)O_p(G).$$

But $a \in O_p(N_G(S))$ whence $a \in O_p(G)$. This contradiction completes the proof of Step 1. \square

Choose $X \in \mathcal{X}_G(a)$. Theorem 2.2 implies that X has a unique involution, which we shall denote by i . Now $i \in C_G(a)$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(a))$ so conjugating X by a suitable element of $C_G(a)$, we may suppose that

$$i \in S. \quad (4)$$

The following step shows that there are two cases to be considered. The first case is a rather dull wreathed configuration that is easy to eliminate. Towards the end of the second, more interesting case, we use an idea of Stark [10].

Step 2. *One of the following holds:*

- (i) i has two conjugates in G , $|S : C_S(i)| = 2$ and $[\langle a^G \rangle, C_S(i)] = 1$.
- (ii) $i \in Z(G)$.

Proof. Since $i \in Z(X)$ we have $a \notin O_p(C_G(i))$. On the other hand, $a \in O_p(C_G(S))$. Hence we may choose T maximal subject to

$$i \in T < S \quad \text{and} \quad a \notin O_p(C_G(T)).$$

Choose S_0 with $T \trianglelefteq S_0 \leq S$ and $|S_0 : T| = 2$. Since $a \notin O_p(C_G(T))$, the minimality of $|G|$ yields

$$G = C_G(T)S_0. \quad (5)$$

In particular, $T \trianglelefteq G$. Then $[\langle a^G \rangle, T] = 1$ since $[a, T] = 1$. Now $X = \langle a^X \rangle$ whence $X \leq C_G(T)$. It follows that

$$i \in Z(T). \quad (6)$$

If $[i, S_0] = 1$ then (5) and (6) imply that (ii) holds. Hence we shall assume that $[i, S_0] \neq 1$ and prove that (i) holds.

Now $|S_0 : T| = 2$ so we see that i has two conjugates in G . Recall that $a \notin O_p(C_G(i))$. Then as $C_G(i) < G$ the minimality of G implies that $a \notin O_p(C_G(C_S(i)))$. From (6) we have $T \leq C_S(i)$ so the maximal choice of T forces $T = C_S(i)$. From (5) we obtain

$$S = C_S(T)S_0 \leq C_S(i)S_0 = TS_0 = S_0,$$

whence $S = S_0$. The final two assertions in (i) now follow from $|S_0 : T| = 2$ and $[\langle a^G \rangle, T] = 1$. \square

Step 3. *The first possibility of Step 2 does not hold.*

Proof. Assume that it does. Choose $s \in S - C_S(i)$. Then s interchanges i and i^s by conjugation. Now i is an involution and $i \notin Z(G)$. Consequently $C_V(i) \neq 0$. Set $U = C_V(i)$. Since $i^G = \{i, i^s\}$ it follows from the irreducibility of G on V that

$$V = U \oplus Us.$$

Let $K = \langle a^G \rangle \trianglelefteq G$, so that $X \leq K$. Since $[i, K] = 1$ it follows that K normalizes U and Us . Let $X_0 = C_X(U)$. Then $X_0^s = C_{X^s}(Us)$ and

$$[X_0, X_0^s] \leq C_K(U) \cap C_K(Us) = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\langle X_0, X_0^s \rangle = X_0 \times X_0^s. \quad (7)$$

By Theorem 2.2 there exists $x \in X_0$ such that $X = \langle a, a^x \rangle$. Now

$$xx^s \in C_G(S)$$

because s interchanges X_0 and X_0^s , because $|S : C_S(i)| = 2$ and because $X_0 \times X_0^s \leq K \leq C_G(C_S(i))$. By hypothesis $a \in O_p(C_G(S))$, whence

$$\langle a, a^{xx^s} \rangle$$

is a p -group.

Let $H = \langle X_0, X_0^s, a \rangle$. Since a normalizes both X_0 and X_0^s we see from (7) that $X_0^s \leq H$. Set $\bar{H} = H/X_0^s$. Then $\bar{x}^s = 1$ so $\langle \bar{a}, \bar{a}^{\bar{x}} \rangle$ is also a p -group. Recall that $X = \langle a, a^x \rangle$, so \bar{X}_0 is a p -group. Now $X_0 \cap X_0^s = 1$ so X_0 is a p -group. But $X = X_0 \langle a \rangle$ by Theorem 2.2 so X is a p -group. This contradicts the fact that $a \notin O_p(X)$ and completes the proof of this step. \square

Step 4. *The second possibility of Step 2 does not hold.*

Proof. Assume that it does. Then $i \in Z(G)$ so the irreducibility of G on V yields $C_V(i) = 0$. In particular, $C_V(X) = 0$ so by Theorem 2.2 we have that $X \cong SL_2(p)$ and that V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules.

Let $P = \langle a \rangle$. We may suppose that P corresponds to the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Choose $Q \leq X$ such that Q corresponds to the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $T = N_X(P) \cap N_X(Q)$ so that $T = \langle t \rangle$ where t corresponds to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for some generator τ of $GF(p)^\times$.

Since V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules we have that

$$V = [V, P] \oplus [V, Q];$$

that t acts on $[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ and on $[V, Q]$ as scalar multiplication by τ^{-1} ; and that $V/[V, P] \cong_T [V, Q]$.

From $[P, S] = 1$ it is clear that S normalizes $[V, P]$. The next objective is to show that some suitable conjugate of S normalizes both $[V, P]$ and $[V, Q]$. Consider the chain

$$V > [V, P] > 0. \quad (8)$$

Let H be the stabilizer of this chain, so that $H = N_G([V, P])$. Let K be the subgroup of H consisting of those elements which act trivially on each factor of (8). Then K is an elementary abelian p -group and $K \trianglelefteq H$. A generator for P acts quadratically on V so

$$P \leq K \leq C_G(P).$$

Now T and S normalize P so $T, S \leq H$. Since t acts on $[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ and on $V/[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ^{-1} we deduce that $[T, S] \leq K$. In particular, TSK is a soluble subgroup of G . Now S is a 2-group and $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ so K is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of TSK .

Hall's Theorem implies that there exists $k \in K$ such that $\langle T, S^k \rangle$ is a p -complement in TSK . Then as $[T, S] \leq K$ we have $[T, S^k] = 1$. Recall that $k \in K \leq C_G(P)$, that $P = \langle a \rangle$ and that $a \in O_p(C_G(S))$. Then $a \in O_p(C_G(S^k))$. In particular, we may replace S by S^k to suppose that

$$[T, S] = 1.$$

Recall that τ is a generator for $GF(p)^\times$. Then $\tau \neq \tau^{-1}$ since $p > 3$. Consequently t has exactly two eigenspaces: the τ -eigenspace $[V, P]$ and the τ^{-1} -eigenspace $[V, Q]$. By the previous paragraph $[t, S] = 1$, so these eigenspaces are both S -invariant.

Let $s \in S$. Then $[V, Q] = [V, Q^s]$ so as Q is quadratic on V it follows that $\langle Q, Q^s \rangle$ acts trivially on each factor of the chain $V > [V, Q] > 0$. This implies that $\langle Q, Q^s \rangle$ is an elementary abelian p -group.

Choose $e \in P$ such that e corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $f \in Q$ such that f corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$(ef)^2 = i \in Z(G).$$

Let $g = f^s$. Then as $e \in C_G(S)$ we have

$$(ef)^2 = i = i^s = (eg)^2.$$

Thus $fef = geg$ and then $(g^{-1}f)^e = gf^{-1}$. Now g and f commute since $\langle Q, Q^s \rangle$ is abelian. Consequently

$$(g^{-1}f)^e = (g^{-1}f)^{-1}.$$

But e has odd prime order p so this forces $g^{-1}f = 1$. Thus $g = f$ and so s commutes with f . Now $X = \langle e, f \rangle$ so we deduce that s commutes with X . Since s was an arbitrary member of S , we have shown that

$$X \leq C_G(S).$$

But $a \in O_p(C_G(S))$ and $a \notin O_p(X)$. This contradiction completes the proof of Step 4. \square

Step 2 is contradicted by Steps 3 and 4. The proof of Theorem C is complete.

4. Preliminaries to the proof of Theorem B

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that the group R acts coprimely on the group G and that p is a prime. Then:*

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p) \subseteq \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- (ii) $C_G(R)$ acts transitively by conjugation on $\mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$.
- (iii) If H is an R -invariant subgroup of G then

$$O_p(G; R) \cap H \leq O_p(H; R).$$

- (iv) Suppose that K is a normal subgroup of GR and set $\overline{GR} = GR/K$. Then

$$C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{R}) = \overline{C_G(R)}$$

and

$$\overline{O_p(G; R)} \leq O_p(\overline{G}; \overline{R}).$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are Sylow's Theorems for Groups with Operators. A proof of these and the first assertion in (iv) may be found in [6, Theorem 6.2.2, p. 224]. The remaining assertions follow from the fact that $O_p(G; R)$ is the largest $RC_G(R)$ -invariant p -subgroup of G .

In (iv) we remark that \overline{R} acts coprimely on \overline{G} and that the containment may be strict. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that the group R acts coprimely on the group G . Let Σ be a G -conjugacy class of subgroups of G and suppose that Σ is R -invariant. Then:*

- (i) Σ contains at least one member that is R -invariant.
- (ii) $C_G(R)$ acts transitively by conjugation on the set of R -invariant members of Σ .

Proof. A Frattini Argument followed by an application of the Schur–Zassenhaus Theorem. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that the group R acts coprimely on the group G . Suppose that K_1, \dots, K_n are distinct subgroups of G that are permuted amongst*

themselves by R and that $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Let p be a prime and set $R_1 = N_R(K_1)$. Then

$$O_p(G; R) \cap K_1 \leq O_p(K_1; R_1).$$

Proof. We may suppose that R acts transitively on $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$. For each i choose $t_i \in R$ such that $K_i = K_1^{t_i}$. Then $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ is a right transversal to R_1 in R .

Choose $P_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{K_1}^*(R_1, p)$ and set $P = \langle P_1^{t_1}, \dots, P_1^{t_n} \rangle$. Now $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and $P_1^{t_i} \leq K_i$ so it follows that P is a p -group. If $g \in R$ then $g = ht_i$ for some $h \in R_1$ and some i . Then $P_1^g = P_1^{ht_i} = P_1^{t_i} \leq P$. It follows that P is R -invariant. Choose P^* with $P \leq P^* \in \mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$. We have

$$P_1 \leq P \cap K_1 \leq P^* \cap K_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{K_1}(R_1, p)$$

so as $P_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{K_1}^*(R_1, p)$ we deduce that

$$P_1 = P^* \cap K_1.$$

Since $P^* \in \mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$ the definition of $O_p(G; R)$ yields $O_p(G; R) \leq P^*$. Consequently

$$O_p(G; R) \cap K_1 \leq P_1.$$

Now P_1 was an arbitrary member of $\mathcal{V}_{K_1}^*(R_1, p)$ so

$$O_p(G; R) \cap K_1 \leq O_p(K_1; R_1)$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that G is a group, that V is a faithful G -module over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$, and that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are abelian. Then any element of G that acts quadratically on V is contained in $O_p(G)$.

Proof. See [6, Theorem 3.8.3, p. 108]. \square

5. Quadratic modules

Throughout this section we assume the following:

Hypothesis 5.1.

- (i) G is a group and $p > 3$ is a prime.
- (ii) V is a faithful G -module over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p .
- (iii) $O_p(G) = 1$.

(iv) G contains elements that act quadratically on V .

Following Thompson [11], set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q} &= \{g \in G \mid g \text{ acts quadratically on } V\}, \\ d &= \min_{g \in \mathcal{Q}} \dim V(g-1) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{Q}_d &= \{g \in \mathcal{Q} \mid \dim V(g-1) = d\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{Q}_d by

$$a \sim b \quad \text{iff} \quad V(a-1) = V(b-1) \text{ and } C_V(a) = C_V(b).$$

Let

$$\Sigma = \{A \subseteq G \mid 1 \in A \text{ and } A^\# \text{ is an equivalence class of } \sim\}.$$

The members of Σ are elementary abelian p -groups. To see this, given $A \in \Sigma$ choose $a \in A^\#$ and observe that A acts trivially on every factor of the chain

$$V > V(a-1) > 0.$$

Moreover, distinct members of Σ have trivial intersection.

The following result of Thompson [11] is fundamental. Timmesfeld gives a proof in [12, 20.9, p. 120].

SL_2 -Lemma. *Suppose that $X = \langle a, b \rangle$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}_d$ and suppose that X is not a p -group. Then $X \cong SL_2(p^n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, V is completely reducible as an X -module and every nontrivial X -composition factor of V is two dimensional.*

Lemma 5.2. *Continue with the notation of the SL_2 -Lemma. Let i be the unique involution in X and let A be the member of Σ that contains a . Then $[A, i] = 1$.*

Proof. We have $V = C_V(i) \oplus [V, i]$. Now $C_V(i) \leq C_V(a)$ so the definition of \sim yields that A acts trivially on $C_V(i)$. Also, $[V, A] = [V, a] \leq [V, i]$ so A normalizes $[V, i]$. But i is an involution so i acts as scalar multiplication by -1 on $[V, i]$. Consequently $[A, i] = 1$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then either $\langle A^G \rangle$ and $\langle B^G \rangle$ commute or A and B are G -conjugate. Moreover, if $G = \langle A^G \rangle$ then Σ is a single G -conjugacy class of subgroups.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Timmesfeld [12, 3.16, p. 23] or a slight modification of an argument of Stark [10, Theorem I]. Now $B \not\leq Z(G)$ since $O_p(G) = 1$. Thus the second assertion follows from the first. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let $\tilde{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and set $P = \langle \tilde{P} \cap Q \rangle$. Then some member of Σ is normal in P .

Proof. Suppose that $T \trianglelefteq P$ with $T = \langle T \cap Q_d \rangle$. We claim that either $[T, P] \cap Q_d \neq \emptyset$ or $[T, P] = 1$. Suppose that $[T, P] \neq 1$. Then there exist $t \in T \cap Q_d$ and $s \in P \cap Q$ such that $[t, s] \neq 1$. Let $H = \langle t, s \rangle$. By a result of Glauberman [3, Theorem 3.3] there exists $h \in H' \cap Q_d$. But $H' = [\langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle] \leq [T, P]$ so the claim is proved.

Note that $P \cap Q_d \neq \emptyset$ since Q_d is a union of conjugacy classes. Hence we may choose T minimal subject to $1 \neq T \trianglelefteq P$ and $T = \langle T \cap Q_d \rangle$. Since P is a p -group we have $[T, P] < T$ so the claim and the minimal choice of T yield $[T, P] = 1$. Choose $a \in T \cap Q_d$ and let A be a member of Σ that contains a . Now $[a, P] = 1$ and distinct members of Σ have trivial intersection. Thus P normalizes A .

The definition of P implies that P is maximal subject to being a p -group and generated by members of Q . Now $A^\# \subseteq Q$ whence $A \leq P$, which completes the proof. \square

6. The proof of Theorem B

Assume Theorem B to be false and consider a counterexample with $|G|$ and then $\dim V$ minimized. We may suppose that the field of definition of V is algebraically closed. Let

$$Q = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ acts quadratically on } V\}.$$

Then

$$Q \cap O_p(G; R) \not\subseteq O_p(G). \quad (9)$$

Step 1. GR acts irreducibly on V . In particular,

$$O_p(G) = 1.$$

Proof. Assume false. Let U be a GR -composition factor of V and set

$$\overline{G} = GR/C_{GR}(U).$$

Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\overline{O_p(G; R)} \leq O_p(\overline{G}; \overline{R}).$$

Now \overline{GR} acts irreducibly on U so $O_p(\overline{G}) \leq O_p(\overline{GR}) = 1$. Then the minimality of $\dim V$ implies that no element of $O_p(\overline{G}; \overline{R})$ acts quadratically on U . Consequently

$$Q \cap O_p(G; R) \subseteq \bigcap C_G(U),$$

where the intersection is over all GR -composition factors U of V . But this intersection is $O_p(G)$ so (9) is contradicted. We deduce that GR acts irreducibly on V . \square

Step 2. Suppose that $Q \neq 1$ is an R -invariant subgroup of $O_p(G; R)$ with $Q = \langle Q \cap Q \rangle$. Let $C = C_G(Q)$. Then:

- (i) The Sylow 2-subgroups of C are contained in $Z(G)$.
- (ii) $Q \cap C \subseteq O_p(C)$.

Proof. Note that C is R -invariant. Choose $S \in \mathcal{V}_C^*(R, 2)$ and let $L = C_G(S)$. Using Lemma 4.1(iii) we have

$$Q \leq O_p(G; R) \cap L \leq O_p(L; R).$$

If $L \neq G$ then the minimality of $|G|$ forces $Q \leq O_p(L)$ and then Theorem C yields $Q \leq O_p(G) = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $L = C$ and (i) is proved. In particular, the Sylow 2-subgroups of C are abelian so Lemma 4.4 implies (ii). \square

Hypothesis 5.1 is satisfied so we assume the notation defined there. Our first objective is to find an R -invariant member of Σ that has nontrivial intersection with $O_p(G; R)$. An argument similar to one used near the end of the proof of Theorem C then yields a contradiction.

Step 3. Let $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$ and set $P = \langle \tilde{P} \cap Q \rangle$. Then there exists $A \in \Sigma$ such that $A \trianglelefteq P$. Moreover, for any such A we have

$$A \cap O_p(G; R) \neq 1.$$

Proof. The existence of A follows from Theorem 5.4. To prove the second assertion let $Q = \langle O_p(G; R) \cap Q \rangle$ and let $Z = A \cap Z(P) \neq 1$. Recall that $A^\# \subseteq Q$ so Step 2 yields

$$Z \leq O_p(C_G(Q)).$$

Now Q is $RC_G(R)$ -invariant whence $O_p(C_G(Q)) \leq O_p(G; R)$ and then $1 \neq Z \leq A \cap O_p(G; R)$. \square

Step 4. Σ is a single G -conjugacy class of subgroups.

Proof. Continue with the notation in the statement of Step 3. Let \mathcal{K}_1 be the G -conjugacy class of Σ that contains A . Observe that R acts by conjugation on the G -conjugacy classes of Σ . Let $\{\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n\}$ be the orbit that contains \mathcal{K}_1 . For each i let $K_i = \langle \mathcal{K}_i \rangle$. Theorem 5.3 implies that $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $R_1 = N_R(K_1)$. By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$O_p(G; R) \cap K_1 \leq O_p(K_1; R_1).$$

Now $A \in \Sigma$ so $A^\# \subseteq Q$. By Step 3 we have $A \cap O_p(G; R) \neq 1$. Consequently

$$Q \cap O_p(K_1; R_1) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $K_1 \trianglelefteq G$ and $O_p(G) = 1$ it follows that $O_p(K_1) = 1$. Then the minimality of $|G|$ yields $K_1 = G$ and then Theorem 5.3 implies that Σ is a single G -conjugacy class. \square

Step 5. *There exists $A \in \Sigma$ such that A is R -invariant and $A \cap O_p(G; R) \neq 1$.*

Proof. Let

$$\Delta = \{P \leq G \mid P \text{ is a } p\text{-group and } P = \langle P \cap Q \rangle\}$$

and let Δ^* be the set of maximal members of Δ . If $P \in \Delta^*$ then $P = \langle \tilde{P} \cap Q \rangle$ for some $\tilde{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Consequently Δ^* is a single G -conjugacy class of subgroups.

Step 4 and Lemma 4.2 imply that we may choose $A \in \Sigma$ such that A is R -invariant. Using Theorem 5.4 and Step 4 we see that $N_G(A)$ contains a member of Δ^* . Note that $N_G(A)$ is R -invariant. By Sylow's Theorem, the members of Δ^* contained in $N_G(A)$ form a single $N_G(A)$ -conjugacy class. Using Lemma 4.2 there exists $\tilde{P} \leq N_G(A)$ such that $P \in \Delta^*$ and P is R -invariant.

Choose $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$ with $P \leq \tilde{P}$. Since $P \in \Delta^*$ we have $P = \langle P \cap Q \rangle = \langle \tilde{P} \cap Q \rangle$. Also $A \leq P$ since $A^\# \subseteq Q$, whence $A \trianglelefteq P$. Step 3 implies that $A \cap O_p(G; R) \neq 1$, completing the proof of this step. \square

Choose $A \in \Sigma$ in accordance with Step 5 and choose

$$a \in A^\# \cap O_p(G; R).$$

The definition of Σ and \sim imply that $[V, A] = V(a - 1)$. Then as A is R -invariant it follows that

$$V(a - 1) \text{ is } R\text{-invariant.} \quad (10)$$

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{X}_G(a)$ given in Section 2. Choose $X \in \mathcal{X}_G(a)$.

Step 6. *$X \cong SL_2(p)$ and V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules.*

Proof. The SL_2 -Lemma and Theorem 2.2 imply that $X \cong SL_2(p)$, that $V = C_V(X) \oplus [V, X]$ and that $[V, X]$ is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules. Thus it suffices to show that $C_V(i) = 0$ where i is the unique involution in X .

We claim that $i \in Z(GR)$. Lemma 5.2 implies that $[i, A] = 1$. Now $A \cap O_p(G; R)$ is R -invariant and $A^\# \subseteq Q$ so Step 3 implies that $i \in Z(G)$. Since $[V, X]$ is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules it follows that i acts as scalar multiplication by -1 on $V(a - 1)$. Then using (10) we obtain

$$0 \neq V(a - 1) \leq C_V([i, R]).$$

But $[i, R]$ is R -invariant and also G -invariant because $i \in Z(G)$. The irreducibility of GR on V forces $C_V([i, R]) = V$, whence $[i, R] = 1$. This proves the claim.

The irreducibility of GR on V and the claim prove that $C_V(i) = 0$, completing the proof of this step. \square

Let $P = \langle a \rangle$. By Step 6 and a suitable choice of basis we may suppose that P corresponds to the subgroup $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Choose $Q \leq X$ such that Q corresponds to the subgroup $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Let $T = N_X(P) \cap N_X(Q)$ so that $T = \langle t \rangle$ where t corresponds to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for some generator τ of $GF(p)^\times$. Since V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules it follows that

$$V = [V, P] \oplus [V, Q];$$

that t acts on $[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ and on $[V, Q]$ and $V/[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ^{-1} . By (10) we know that $[V, P]$ is R -invariant.

Step 7. *There is a choice of X such that $[V, Q]$ is R -invariant.*

Proof. Let H be the G -stabilizer of the chain

$$V > [V, P] > 0, \tag{11}$$

and let K be the subgroup consisting of those elements of H that act trivially on every factor of (11). Then $K \trianglelefteq H$ and K is an elementary abelian p -group. Since $P = \langle a \rangle$ and $a \in Q$ we have

$$a \in K \leq C_G(a).$$

Now R stabilizes (11) by (10), hence H is R -invariant. Also, $T \leq H$ since $T \leq N_X(P)$. Since t acts on $[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ and on $V/[V, P]$ as scalar multiplication by τ^{-1} it follows that

$$[T, R] \leq K.$$

Consequently, TK is R -invariant.

Recall that K is a p -group and that $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$. Thus T is a p -complement in TK and then by Lemma 4.2 there is an R -invariant p -complement. Hence there exists $k \in K$ such that $R \leq N_{GR}(T^k)$. In particular, $[T^k, R] \leq T^k \cap K = 1$. (Because R centralizes TK/K and K is a p -group.)

Now $k \in K \leq C_G(a)$ so $X^k \in \mathcal{X}_G(a)$. Thus, replacing X by X^k we may suppose that $[T, R] = 1$. Since $p > 3$ and τ is a generator for $GF(p)^\times$ we have $\tau \neq \tau^{-1}$. In particular, t has precisely two eigenspaces: the τ -eigenspace $[V, P]$ and the τ^{-1} -eigenspace $[V, Q]$. As $[t, R] = 1$, the eigenspaces for t are R -invariant. This completes the proof of Step 7. \square

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem B. Choose X in accordance with Step 7. Let Q^* be the subgroup of G consisting of those elements that act trivially on every factor of the chain

$$V > [V, Q] > 0. \quad (12)$$

Then Q^* is an elementary abelian p -group. Recall that V is a direct sum of natural $SL_2(p)$ -modules, so the elements of $Q^\#$ act quadratically on V . In particular,

$$Q \leq Q^*.$$

Now $[V, Q]$ is R -invariant so $Q^* \in \mathcal{V}_G^*(R, p)$. The definition of $O_p(G; R)$ implies that $\langle O_p(G; R), Q^* \rangle$ is a p -group. Now $P = \langle a \rangle$ and $a \in O_p(G; R)$ so $\langle P, Q \rangle$ is a p -group. But $\langle P, Q \rangle = X \cong SL_2(p)$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem B.

7. The proof of Theorem A

First we restate part of [5, Theorem A].

Theorem (Glauberman). *Suppose that G is a group, that p is a prime, that $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$ and that P is a p -subgroup of G with $O_p(G) \leq P$. If no element of P acts quadratically on any chief factor X/Y of G with $X \leq O_p(G)$ then $K^\infty(P) \trianglelefteq G$.*

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem A. We may replace R by $R/C_R(G)$ to suppose that R acts faithfully on G . Then as $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$ and as R and G have coprime orders it follows that $F^*(GR) = O_p(G) = O_p(GR)$.

Suppose that X/Y is a chief factor of GR with $X \leq O_p(G)$. Set $V = X/Y$ and regard V as a GR -module over $GF(p)$. Let $\overline{GR} = GR/C_{GR}(V)$. Now GR acts irreducibly on V since V is a chief factor of GR . Consequently $O_p(\overline{G}) = 1$. Lemma 4.1(iv) implies that $\overline{P} \leq O_p(\overline{G}; \overline{R})$. Invoking Theorem B, we see that no element of P acts quadratically on V . Glauberman's Theorem, with GR in place of G , implies that $K^\infty(P) \trianglelefteq G$. The proof of Theorem A is complete.

8. The proof of Corollary D

Assume the hypotheses of Corollary D. Let

$$P = O_p(G; R).$$

Choose $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $P_0 = O_p(M; R)$. Since $C_G(R) \leq M$ it follows that

$$P_0 = P \cap M.$$

By hypothesis, $F^*(M) = O_p(M)$ so Theorem A implies that $K^\infty(P_0) \trianglelefteq M$. Now G is simple, whence $M = N_G(K^\infty(P_0))$. Then $N_P(P_0) \leq N_P(K^\infty(P_0)) \leq M \cap P = P_0$. We deduce that $P_0 = P$, that $M = N_G(K^\infty(P))$ and that $\mathcal{M} = \{N_G(K^\infty(P))\}$. In particular,

$$G \neq \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle.$$

Assume now that R is non cyclic. Then $G = \langle C_G(a) \mid a \in R^\# \rangle$. Since R is abelian, for each $a \in R^\#$ we know that $C_G(a)$ is R -invariant. Also, $C_G(R) \leq C_G(a)$. It follows that

$$G = \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle.$$

This contradicts the previous paragraph. We conclude that R is cyclic.

References

- [1] T.M. Gagen, Topics in Finite Groups, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 16, 1976.
- [2] G. Glauberman, A sufficient condition for p -stability, Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (3) (1972) 257–287.
- [3] G. Glauberman, Quadratic elements in unipotent linear groups, J. Algebra 20 (1972) 637–654.
- [4] G. Glauberman, A characteristic subgroup of a p -stable group, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968) 1101–1135.
- [5] G. Glauberman, Prime power factor groups of finite groups II, Math. Z. 117 (1970) 46–56.
- [6] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, 2nd Edition, Chelsea, New York, 1980.
- [7] D. Gorenstein, Finite Simple Groups, an Introduction to Their Classification, Plenum Press, New York, 1982.
- [8] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R.M. Solomon, The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, in: Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 40 #2, 1996.
- [9] J.C. Lennox, S.E. Stonehewer, Subnormal Subgroups of Groups, in: Oxford Math. Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [10] B.S. Stark, Another look at Thompson's quadratic pairs, J. Algebra 45 (1977) 334–342.
- [11] J.G. Thompson, Quadratic pairs, unpublished.
- [12] F.G. Timmesfeld, Abstract root subgroups and quadratic action, Advances in Math. 142 (1999) 1–150.