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#### Abstract

It is well known that every bipartite graph with vertex classes of size $n$ whose minimum degree is at least $n / 2$ contains a perfect matching. We prove an analogue of this result for hypergraphs. We also prove several related results which guarantee the existence of almost perfect matchings in $r$-uniform hypergraphs of large minimum degree. Our bounds on the minimum degree are essentially best possible.


## 1 Introduction

The so called 'marriage theorem' of Hall provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a perfect matching in a bipartite graph. For hypergraphs there is no analogue of this result - up to now only partial results are known. For example, Conforti et al. [4] extended Hall's theorem to balanced hypergraphs and Haxell [8] extended Hall's theorem to a sufficient condition for the existence of a hypergraph matching which contains a given set of vertices. Moreover, there are many results about the existence of almost perfect matchings in hypergraphs which are pseudo-random in some sense. Most of these are based on an approach due to Rödl (see e.g. [1] for an introduction to the topic or $\mathrm{Vu}[15]$ for more recent results). For random $r$-uniform hypergraphs, the threshold for a perfect matching is still not known. There are several partial results, see e.g. Kim [11].

A simple corollary of Hall's theorem for graphs states that every bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$ of size $n$ whose minimum degree is at least $n / 2$ contains a perfect matching. (This can also be proved directly by considering a maximal matching.) The condition on the minimum degree is best possible. The first theorem of this paper provides an analogue of this result for $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraphs. So instead of two vertex classes and a set of edges joining them (as in the graph case), we now have $r$ vertex classes and a set of (unordered) $r$-tuples, each of whose vertices lies in a different vertex class (see Section 2 for the precise definition). A natural way to define the minimum degree of an $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is the following. Given $r-1$ distinct vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, the neighbourhood $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)$ of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is the set of all those vertices $x$ which form a hyperedge together with $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$. The minimum degree $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined to be the minimum $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right|$ over all tuples $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ of vertices lying in different vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an r-uniform r-partite hypergraph with vertex classes of size $n \geq 1000$ which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a perfect matching.

Theorem 1 is best possible up to the error term $\sqrt{2 n \log n}$ (see Lemma 10). Surprisingly, a simple argument already shows that a significantly smaller minimum degree guarantees a matching which covers almost all vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ :

Theorem 2 Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an r-uniform r-partite hypergraph with vertex classes of size $n$ which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n / r$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching which covers all but at most $r-2$ vertices in each vertex class of $\mathcal{H}$.

Again, the bound on the minimum degree in Theorem 2 is essentially best possible: if we reduce it by $\varepsilon n$, then we cannot even guarantee a matching which covers all but $\varepsilon n$ vertices in each vertex class (see Lemma 12 for a more precise assertion). Actually, instead of Theorem 2, we will prove a more general statement (Theorem 11).

Finally, we use Theorems 1 and 2 to obtain analogues for $r$-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ which are not necessarily $r$-partite. The minimum degree $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H})$ of such a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is defined similarly as before except that we now take the minimum $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right|$ over all $(r-1)$-tuples of distinct vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 3 For every integer $r \geq 3$ there exists an integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(r)$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$ the following holds. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an r-uniform hypergraph with $|\mathcal{H}|=$ rn vertices which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq|\mathcal{H}| / 2+3 r^{2} \sqrt{n \log n}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a perfect matching.

Theorem 4 For every integer $r \geq 3$ there exists an integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(r)$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$ the following holds. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an r-uniform hypergraph with $|\mathcal{H}|=n$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n / r+3 r^{2} \sqrt{n \log n}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching which covers all but at most $2 r^{2}$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.

Again the bounds on the minimum degree are best possible up to lower order terms (see Lemmas 15 and 17).

Recently, Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [13] proved the related result that for all positive $\varepsilon$ there exists an integer $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ every 3uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{2}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n / 2+\varepsilon n$ contains a tight Hamilton cycle. (A tight Hamilton cycle in a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a cyclic ordering of its vertices such that every 3 consecutive vertices form a hyperedge.) An immediate corollary is that if $n$ is divisible by 3 , then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a perfect matching. We believe that the main advantage of Theorem 3 is that it provides a much shorter proof of this corollary. Also, a related result was proved and used as a tool in [13]: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices and consider the auxiliary graph $G$ whose edges are all the pairs $x, y$ of vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\left|N_{2}(x, y)\right|<n / 2$. If the maximum degree of $G$ is small, then $\mathcal{H}$ has an almost perfect matching. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 2 gives a simple proof of this fact and reduces the necessary minimum degree from $n / 2$ to $n / 3$ in the 3 -uniform case (see Theorems 11 and 16).

From an algorithmic point of view, there is also a major difference between matching problems for graphs and hypergraphs. A largest matching in a graph can be found in polynomial time (see e.g. [12]), whereas Karp proved that one cannot find a maximum matching in an $r$-partite $r$-uniform hypergraph
in polynomial time unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ (see e.g. [6], this is also known as the $r$ dimensional matching problem). In fact, Kann [10] proved that the problem is even MaxSNP-complete, so unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ it is not even possible to approximate the optimal solution within a given factor $1+\varepsilon$ in polynomial time. The best known approximation algorithm is due to Hurkens and Schrijver [7] and has approximation ratio $r / 2+\varepsilon$ for any given $\varepsilon>0$.

On the other hand, our proofs can easily be reformulated as polynomial time algorithms (which are randomized in most cases). For example, if the minimum degree is a little larger than $|\mathcal{H}| / 2$, there is a randomized polynomial time algorithm which finds a perfect matching with high probability. It would be interesting to know whether this can be achieved without randomization. This is certainly the case for the argument we use to obtain Theorem 4 from Theorem 2 -it can easily be derandomized using standard techniques (see e.g. [1, Chapter 15]). Hence an almost perfect matching can be found in polynomial time if the minimum degree is at least $|\mathcal{H}| / r$.

Finally, we present some open questions which are immediately suggested by the above results: Obviously, it would be desirable to eliminate the gaps between the upper and the lower bounds on the minimum degree. Also, it would be interesting to know whether one can obtain similar results if one adopts the following alternative definition of minimum degree: The neighbourhood of a vertex $x$ of an $r$-uniform hypergraph is the set of all those $(r-1)$-tuples of vertices which form a hyperedge together with $x$ and the minimum degree is the size of the smallest neighbourhood.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notation and collect tools which are needed in the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 3 we consider minimum degree conditions for the existence of perfect matchings in $r$-partite $r$-uniform hypergraphs. In Section 4 we consider minimum degree conditions for almost perfect matchings in such hypergraphs. In the final section we then derive the corresponding results for $r$-uniform hypergraphs which are not necessarily $r$-partite by using a simple probabilistic argument.

## 2 Notation and tools

In this paper, all logarithms are base e, where e denotes the Euler number. We write $|G|$ for the number of vertices in a graph $G$. We denote the degree of a vertex $x \in G$ by $d_{G}(x)$ and the set of its neighbours by $N_{G}(x)$. We often write $G=(A, B)$ for a bipartite graph $G$ with vertex classes $A$ and $B$.

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ consists of a set $V$ of vertices together with some set $E$ of subsets of $V$. The elements of $E$ are called the hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$. We write $|\mathcal{H}|$ for the number of vertices of $\mathcal{H} . \mathcal{H}$ is $r$-uniform if all its hyperedges are $r$-element sets. If $\mathcal{H}$ is an $r$-uniform hypergraph, we will also refer to its hyperedges as $r$-tuples of $\mathcal{H}$. An $r$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is called $r$-partite if the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ can be partitioned into $r$ classes, $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ say, such that every hyperedge meets every $V_{i}$ in precisely one vertex. The $V_{i}$ are the vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}$. A matching in $\mathcal{H}$ is a set $M$ of disjoint hyperedges of $\mathcal{H} . M$ is perfect if every vertex of $\mathcal{H}$ lies in some hyperedge belonging to $M$.

We use the following version of Stirling's inequality (the bound is a weak form of a result of Robbins, see e.g. [2]):

Proposition 5 For all integers $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{n} \leq n!\leq 3 \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{n} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we need the following result of Brégman [3] about the permanent of a 0-1 matrix which was conjectured by Minc. (A short proof of it was given by Schrijver [14], see also [1]). We formulate this result in terms of the number of perfect matchings of a bipartite graph.

Theorem 6 Every bipartite graph $G=(A, B)$ contains at most

$$
\prod_{a \in A}\left(d_{G}(a)!\right)^{1 / d_{G}(a)}
$$

perfect matchings.
An application of Stirling's inequality (Proposition 5) to Theorem 6 immediately yields the following.

Corollary 7 Let $G=(A, B)$ be a bipartite graph with $|A|=|B|=n$ and $d_{G}(a) \geq n / 3$ for every $a \in A$. Let $m$ denote the number of perfect matchings in $G$. Then

$$
m \leq 27 n^{3 / 2} \prod_{a \in A} \frac{d_{G}(a)}{\mathrm{e}}
$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.
Lemma 8 Suppose that $G=(A, B)$ is a bipartite graph with $|A|=|B|=n \geq$ 1000 and such that $d_{G}(a) \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$ for all $a \in A$. Let $M$ be a perfect matching in the complete bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$ chosen uniformly at random. Then the probability that $M$ contains at most $n / 2$ edges of $G$ is at most $1 /(2 n)$.
Proof. Set $y:=\lceil n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}\rceil-n / 2$ and choose any spanning subgraph $H$ of $G$ such that $d_{H}(a)=n / 2+y$ for all $a \in A$. Given a set $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$, we denote by $H_{A^{\prime}}$ the bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$ in which every vertex $a \in A^{\prime}$ is joined to all the vertices $b \in N_{H}(a)$ while every vertex $a \in A \backslash A^{\prime}$ is joined to all the vertices $b \in B \backslash N_{H}(a)$. Given $0 \leq k \leq n / 2$, let $m_{k}$ denote the number of perfect matchings $M^{\prime}$ in the complete bipartite graph between $A$ and $B$ which contain precisely $k$ edges of $H$. Every such matching $M^{\prime}$ can be obtained by first fixing a $k$-element set $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and then choosing a perfect matching in the graph $H_{A^{\prime}}$. (Thus the elements of $A^{\prime}$ correspond to the $k$ endvertices of the edges in $M^{\prime} \cap E(H)$.) As $n \geq 1000$, we have $\delta\left(H_{A^{\prime}}\right) \geq n / 2-y \geq n / 3$, and we
can apply Corollary 7 to $H_{A^{\prime}}$. Altogether, this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{k} & \leq\binom{ n}{k} \cdot 27 n^{3 / 2} \cdot\left(\frac{n / 2+y}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{n / 2-y}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{n-k} \\
& \leq 2^{n} \cdot 27 n^{3 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-n}(n / 2+y)^{n / 2}(n / 2-y)^{n / 2} \\
& =2^{n} \cdot 27 n^{3 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-n}\left(n^{2} / 4-y^{2}\right)^{n / 2} \\
& \leq 27 n^{3 / 2} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{n} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-2 y^{2} / n} \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \frac{27 n!}{n^{5 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider a perfect matching $M$ in the complete bipartite graph between $A$ and $B$ chosen uniformly at random. Since there are $n!$ such perfect matchings and $n \geq 1000$, the probability that $M$ contains at most $n / 2$ edges of $H$ is at most

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{m_{k}}{n!}<\frac{1}{2 n}
$$

This proves the lemma since $H$ is a subgraph of $G$.

## 3 Perfect matchings in $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraphs

In Theorem 9 below, we prove a slightly strengthened version of the 3-uniform case of Theorem 1. This will then be used to derive the general case of Theorem 1 .

Theorem 9 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with vertex classes $A$, $B$ and $C$ of size $n \geq$ 1000. Suppose that $\left|N_{2}(a, b)\right| \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$ for all pairs $a \in A, b \in B$ and $\left|N_{2}(a, c)\right| \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$ for all pairs $a \in A, c \in C$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a perfect matching.

Proof. Given a perfect matching $M$ in the complete bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$, we define an auxiliary graph $G_{M}$ as follows. The vertex classes of $G_{M}$ are $C$ and $M$. Vertices $c \in C$ and $a b \in M$ are neighbours in $G_{M}$ whenever $a b c$ is a hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{H}$ contains a perfect matching if $G_{M}$ does. Thus it suffices to show that there exists a choice for $M$ such that $G_{M}$ has minimum degree at least $n / 2$. Then $G_{M}$ contains a perfect matching by Hall's theorem.

Consider a perfect matching $M$ in the complete bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$ which is chosen uniformly at random. By the above, it suffices to show that with positive probability the minimum degree of $G_{M}$ is at least $n / 2$. Since $\left|N_{2}(a, b)\right| \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$ for all pairs $a \in A, b \in B$, all the vertices in $M \subseteq V\left(G_{M}\right)$ have degree at least $n / 2$ in $G_{M}$. Thus we only have to show that for every vertex $c \in C$ the probability that $d_{G_{M}}(c)<n / 2$ is at most $1 /(2 n)$. But this immediately follows from Lemma 8. Indeed, let $G_{c}$ denote the bipartite graph with vertex classes $A$ and $B$ such that $a b$ is an edge of $G_{c}$ whenever $a b c$ is a hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$. Then the degree of $c$ in $G_{M}$
is precisely the number of edges in $M \cap E\left(G_{c}\right)$. But for every vertex $a \in A$ we have $d_{G_{c}}(a)=\left|N_{2}(a, c)\right| \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$. Thus Lemma 8 implies that $\left|M \cap E\left(G_{c}\right)\right| \leq n / 2$ with probability at most $1 /(2 n)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will derive Theorem 1 from Theorem 9. Suppose that $r \geq 4$ and let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ denote the vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}$. We first choose any perfect matching $M^{\prime}$ in the complete $(r-2)$-uniform $(r-2)$-partite hypergraph with vertex classes $V_{3}, \ldots, V_{r}$. Consider the auxiliary 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ whose vertex classes are $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and $M^{\prime}$ and in which $v_{1} \in V_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{2}$ and $m \in M^{\prime}$ from a hyperedge if and only if $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ form a hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ together with all the $r-2$ vertices belonging to $m$. Thus a perfect matching in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ corresponds to a perfect matching in $\mathcal{H}$. But $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ contains a perfect matching since it satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 9. (Put $A:=M^{\prime}, B:=V_{1}$ and $\left.C:=V_{2}.\right)$

The following lemma shows that the bound on the minimum degree in Theorem 1 is best possible up to the error term $\sqrt{2 n \log n}$.

Lemma 10 For all integers $r \geq 3$ and every $n \geq 1$ there exists an $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with vertex classes of size $n$ which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H}) \geq$ $n / 2-1$ but does not contain a perfect matching.
Proof. The vertex classes of our hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ will be $n$-element sets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$. For each $i$ we choose a set $V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq V_{i}$ such that $n / 2-1 \leq\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq n / 2+1$ and $\left|V_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}^{\prime}\right|$ is odd. Clearly, this is always possible. The hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$ will be those $r$-tuples of vertices which meet each $V_{i}$ in exactly one vertex and which additionally meet an even number (i.e. possibly none) of the sets $V_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{r}^{\prime}$. It is easily seen that $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n / 2-1$. However, any matching covers an even number of vertices in $V_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}^{\prime}$ since each hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ contains an even number of these vertices. Hence, as $\left|V_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}^{\prime}\right|$ is odd, there cannot exist a perfect matching.

Drake [5] showed that one can also obtain a lower bound of $n / 2$ for all $n$ where $n / 2$ is odd if $r$ is odd.

## 4 Almost perfect matchings in $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraphs

Instead of proving Theorem 2, we will prove the following more general assertion, which implies that Theorem 2 is 'robust' in the sense that we still get an almost perfect matching if the degree is slightly smaller and/or the degree condition fails for only a small fraction of the $(r-1)$-tuples.

Theorem 11 Let $k \geq 1, \ell \geq 0$ be integers and let $\mathcal{H}$ be an r-uniform r-partite hypergraph with vertex classes of size $n$. Put

$$
\delta^{\prime}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lceil n / r\rceil-\ell \quad \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod r \quad \text { or } n \equiv r-1 \bmod r \\
\lfloor n / r\rfloor-\ell \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose that there are fewer than $k^{r-1}$ tuples $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ of vertices in $\mathcal{H}$ such that all the $x_{i}$ lie in different vertex classes and $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right|<\delta^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching which covers all but at most $(r-1) k+\ell r-1$ vertices in each vertex class of $\mathcal{H}$.

The proof of Theorem 11 is short and elementary - the idea is to consider a matching of maximum size. This then will turn out to have the required properties.

Proof of Theorem 11. Let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ denote the vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}$. Choose any matching $M$ of $\mathcal{H}$ whose size is maximum. Let $V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq V_{i}$ be the set of all those vertices which are not covered by $M$. Then $\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{r}^{\prime}\right|=: s$. Suppose that $s \geq(r-1) k+\ell r \geq(r-1) k$. Then for every $i=1, \ldots, r$ one can find a set $A_{i}$ which consists of exactly $k$ vertices from each $V_{j}^{\prime}(j \neq i)$ and avoids $V_{i}$ and such that all the $A_{i}$ are disjoint from each other. Thus each $A_{i}$ contains vertices $x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{i}$ lying in different vertex classes for which $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{i}\right)\right| \geq \delta^{\prime}$. By the choice of $M, N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{i}\right)$ lies entirely in $V_{i} \backslash V_{i}^{\prime}$ and thus meets at least $\delta^{\prime}$ of the elements of the matching $M$. Since $r \delta^{\prime}>n-(r-1) k-\ell r \geq n-s=|M|$, there exist indices $i \neq j$ such that $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{i}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{r-1}\left(x_{1}^{j}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{j}\right)$ meet the same element of $M, m$ say. Let $M^{\prime}$ be the matching obtained from $M$ by deleting $m$ and adding the hyperedge consisting of $x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{i}$ together with the unique vertex in $m \cap V_{i}$ as well as adding the hyperedge consisting of $x_{1}^{j}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{j}$ together with the unique vertex in $m \cap V_{j}$. Then $\left|M^{\prime}\right|=|M|+1$, contradicting the choice of $M$.

The following lemma shows that the minimum degree in Theorem 2 (and in the $k=1, \ell=0$ case of Theorem 11) cannot be reduced. Moreover, it implies that if we reduce the minimum degree in Theorem 2 by $\varepsilon n$, then one cannot even guarantee a matching which covers all but at most $\varepsilon n$ vertices in each vertex class.

Lemma 12 Given integers $q \geq 1, r \geq 3$ and $n \geq r q$, suppose that $n=s r+t$ where $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t<r$. Put

$$
C:= \begin{cases}r q & \text { if } t=0 \\ r(q-1)+t & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

There exists an r-uniform r-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with vertex classes of size $n$ such that $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H})=\lceil n / r\rceil-q$ and such that every matching in $\mathcal{H}$ avoids at least $C$ vertices in each vertex class of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Put $\delta:=\lceil n / r\rceil-q$. Let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ be disjoint $n$-element sets. For each $i$ let $V_{i}^{\prime}$ be any $\delta$-element subset of $V_{i}$. Consider the $r$-uniform hypergraph whose vertex classes are $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ and whose hyperedges are precisely those $r$-tuples which meet each $V_{i}$ in exactly one vertex and which additionally meet at least one of the sets $V_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{r}^{\prime}$. Then $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}(\mathcal{H})=\delta$. But since every hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ meets at least one of the sets $V_{i}^{\prime}$, every matching in $\mathcal{H}$ has at most $r \delta$ elements and thus avoids at least $n-r \delta=C$ vertices in each of the vertex classes.

Note that Lemma 12 implies that Theorem 11 with $\ell=0$ and $k=1$ is also sharp in the sense that if $n=s r+(r-2)$, then there exists an $r$-uniform $r$-partite hypergraph with vertex classes of size $n$ which has minimum degree $\lfloor n / r\rfloor$ and where every matching misses $r-2$ vertices in each vertex class.

## 5 Matchings in general $r$-uniform hypergraphs

To derive Theorem 3 from Theorem 1 we show that the vertex set of every $r$ uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ as in Theorem 3 can be partitioned into $r$ vertex classes of equal size such that the $r$-partite subhypergraph thus obtained satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Indeed, a straightforward argument (see Proposition 13) shows that a random partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ into classes of equal size will have the desired properties. To work out the details, we need the following definition. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $r$-uniform hypergraph whose number of vertices is divisible by $r,|\mathcal{H}|=r n$ say. Given a set $N \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$, we say that a partition $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ of the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ splits $N$ fairly if $\left|V_{i}\right|=n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|N \cap V_{i}\right|-\frac{|N|}{r}\right| \leq 2 r \sqrt{n \log n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i \leq r$.
Proposition 13 For each integer $r \geq 2$ there exists an integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(r)$ such that the following holds. Suppose that $n \geq n_{0}$ and that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $r$-uniform hypergraph with rn vertices. Then there exists a partition $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ of the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ which splits all neighbourhoods $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)$ fairly.

Proposition 13 follows from a straightforward application of the following large deviation bound for the hypergeometric distribution (see e.g. [9, Thm. 2.10, Cor. 2.3 and Cor. 2.4]).

Lemma 14 Given $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and sets $N \subseteq V$ with $|V| \geq q$, let $Q$ be a subset of $V$ which is obtained by successively selecting $q$ elements of $V$ uniformly at random without repetitions. Let $X:=|N \cap Q|$.
(i) For all $0<\alpha \leq 3 / 2$ we have $\mathbb{P}(|X-\mathbb{E} X| \geq \alpha \mathbb{E} X) \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{3} \mathbb{E} X}$.
(ii) If $\alpha^{\prime} \geq \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{E} X$, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(X \geq \alpha^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-c^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}}$, where $c^{\prime}$ is an absolute constant.

Proof of Proposition 13. Choose a partition $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ with $\left|V_{1}\right|=\cdots=$ $\left|V_{r}\right|=n$ of the vertex set $V(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ uniformly at random from the set of all such partitions. Clearly, the probability that $V_{i}$ equals a fixed $n$-element subset of $V(\mathcal{H})$ is the same as when $V_{i}$ was obtained by successively selecting $n$ elements of $V(\mathcal{H})$ uniformly at random without repetitions. Thus we may apply Lemma 14 with any one of the $V_{i}$ taking the role of $Q$. Set $\gamma:=2 r \sqrt{(\log n) / n}$.

Consider first any neighbourhood $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)=: N$ whose size is at least $2 r \gamma n / 3$. Since $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|N \cap V_{i}\right|\right)=|N| / r \leq n$, Lemma 14(i) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\left|N \cap V_{i}\right|-|N| / r\right| \geq \gamma n\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(| | N \cap V_{i}|-|N| / r| \geq(\gamma n r /|N|)|N| / r\right) \\
& \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\gamma^{2} n^{2}}{3} \frac{r}{|N|}} \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\gamma^{2} n}{3}} \leq \mathrm{e}^{-r^{2} \log n}<\frac{1}{r} \cdot\binom{|\mathcal{H}|}{r-1}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|N| \leq 2 r \gamma n / 3$, we get the same bound using Lemma 14(ii). Indeed, since $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|N \cap V_{i}\right|\right)=|N| / r \leq 2 \gamma n / 3$, Lemma 14(ii) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\left|N \cap V_{i}\right|-|N| / r\right| \geq \gamma n\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\left|N \cap V_{i}\right| \geq|N| / r+\gamma n\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|N \cap V_{i}\right| \geq \gamma n\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{e}^{-c^{\prime} \gamma n}=\mathrm{e}^{-c^{\prime} 2 r \sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{n}}<\frac{1}{r} \cdot\binom{|\mathcal{H}|}{r-1}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence with probability $>1-1 / r$ the set $V_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right) \cap V_{i}\right|-\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right| / r\right| \leq \gamma n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all neighbourhoods $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)$. Thus the probability that all the partition sets $V_{i}$ satisfy (3) is positive. Therefore there exists an outcome $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ with this property. This is a partition of $V(\mathcal{H})$ as required.

Proof of Theorem 3. First apply Proposition 13 to obtain a partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ into $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ which splits all the neighbourhoods $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)$ fairly. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the $r$-uniform $r$-partite subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ defined in this way. (So the hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ are those hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$ which meet every $V_{i}$ in precisely one vertex.) Then $\delta_{r-1}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right) \geq n / 2+3 r \sqrt{n \log n}-$ $2 r \sqrt{n \log n} \geq n / 2+\sqrt{2 n \log n}$. Thus Theorem 1 implies that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ (and hence also $\mathcal{H}$ ) has a perfect matching.

The following lemma implies that Theorem 3 becomes false if the minimum degree is 'a bit below' $|\mathcal{H}| / 2$. It would be interesting to know whether there are even counterexamples $\mathcal{H}$ with $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq|\mathcal{H}| / 2-1$.

Lemma 15 For all integers $r \geq 3$ and every odd $n \geq r$ there exists an $r$ uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with $2 r n$ vertices which satisfies

$$
\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H})= \begin{cases}r n-(r-1) & \text { if } r \text { is odd } \\ r n-r & \text { if } r \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

but does not contain a perfect matching.
Proof. We first consider the case when $r$ is odd. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $r n$-element sets. The vertex set of our hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ will be $A \cup B$. The hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$ will be those $r$-tuples of distinct vertices which meet $A$ in an even (and thus possibly empty) number of vertices. Then $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H})=r n-(r-1)$ and every matching covers only an even number of vertices in $A$ (and thus cannot cover all of them).

In the case when $r$ is even we proceed similarly except that $A$ is now an $(r n+1)$-element set and $B$ is an $(r n-1)$-element set.

Instead of Theorem 4, we will prove the following strengthening.
Theorem 16 For every integer $r \geq 3$ there exists an integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(r)$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$ the following holds. Let $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 0$ be integers and suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an r-uniform hypergraph with $|\mathcal{H}|=$ rn vertices which has less than $k^{r-1}$ tuples $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ of distinct vertices satisfying $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right|<|\mathcal{H}| / r-\ell+3 r^{2} \sqrt{n \log n}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching which covers all but at most $r^{2} k+\ell r$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 4 follows immediately from the $k=1$ case. Indeed, if the number of vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ is not divisible by $r$, then apply Theorem 16 to the hypergraph which is obtained from $\mathcal{H}$ by deleting up to $r-1$ vertices.

Theorem 16 can be derived from Theorem 11 in the same way as Theorem 3 was derived from Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 16. First apply Proposition 13 to obtain a partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ into $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ which splits all neighbourhoods $N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)$ fairly. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the $r$-uniform $r$-partite subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ defined in this way. Then there are less than $k^{r-1}$ tuples $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ such that all the $x_{i}$ lie in different vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ and which satisfy $\left|N_{r-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right)\right|<n / r-\ell / r+3 r \sqrt{n \log n}-2 r \sqrt{n \log n}$. Since the right hand side is at least $\lceil n / r\rceil-\lfloor\ell / r\rfloor$, Theorem 11 implies that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ (and hence also $\mathcal{H})$ has a matching which avoids at most $r(r-1) k+r^{2}(\ell / r)-r \leq r^{2} k+\ell r$ vertices.

The following lemma implies that if we reduce the minimum degree in Theorem 4 by $2 \varepsilon|\mathcal{H}|$, then one cannot guarantee a matching which leaves less than $r \varepsilon|\mathcal{H}|$ vertices uncovered, provided that $|\mathcal{H}|$ is sufficiently large compared to $r$ and $\varepsilon$.

Lemma 17 For all integers $r \geq 3, q \geq 1$ and every $n \geq q$, $r$ there exists an $r$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with rn vertices which satisfies $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H})=n-q$ but does not contain a matching which avoids less than rq of its vertices.
Proof. Let $A$ be an $(n-q)$-element set and let $B$ be an $(r n-(n-q))$-element set. The vertex set of our hypergraph will be $A \cup B$. The hypergedges of $\mathcal{H}$ will be those $r$-tuples which meet $A$ in at least one vertex. Thus $\delta_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq n-q$. However, every matching in $\mathcal{H}$ covers at most $|B|-r q$ vertices in $B$ since every hyperedge has at most $r-1$ vertices in $B$ and $(r-1)|A|=r n-(n-q)-r q=$ $|B|-r q$.
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