

# A Group of Order 604800 That's Easy: Octonians, $G_2(q)$ and $J_2$

David A. Craven

21st February, 2007

In this talk we are going to construct the octonian algebra, both the split form and the compact form, and use it as a vehicle to define the simple groups  $G_2(q)$ , where  $q$  is a prime power. Using  $G_2(2)' = \text{PSU}_3(3)$ , we construct a simple group  $J_2$  as the automorphism group of a graph on 100 vertices. Finally, we see how the representation theory of the groups  $G_2(4)$  and  $G_2(2) = \text{PSU}_3(3) : 2$  affects the representation theory of the group  $J_2$ , and in particular prove which simple modules for  $J_2$  are non-algebraic, over a field of characteristic 2.

## 1 Quaternions

In this short section we recap how the quaternions are built up, and try to see how we can generalize this notion. Firstly, let  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $k$  be elements, and let

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k : \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

with addition pointwise and multiplication defined on the basis elements by  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ ,  $ij = k$ ,  $ki = j$  and  $jk = i$ , and the other products defined by anticommutativity. To get the multiplication on the whole of  $\mathbb{H}$ , extend this by linearity. This forms a 4-dimensional non-commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. There is a bijective involution  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  given by

$$\alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k \mapsto \alpha - \beta i - \gamma j - \delta k,$$

and a norm given by

$$N(q) = q\bar{q},$$

where  $q \in \mathbb{H}$ . Notice that  $N(q) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2$ , where  $q = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k$ . As a vector space, it has basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$ .

We can legitimately replace  $\mathbb{R}$  with any field  $K$ , except possibly a field of characteristic 2, since  $-1 = 1$ , which is bad for the definition we have given above. We can thus create

a  $K$ -algebra of quaternions,  $\mathbb{H}_K$ . Such an algebra has an automorphism group: since any automorphism fixes 1, it must fix the orthogonal complement,  $\langle \{i, j, k\} \rangle$ , and since  $\{i, j, k\}$  is a collection of vectors of norm 1,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_K)$  is a subgroup of  $O_3(K)$ . In fact, one can check that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_K) = \text{SO}_3(K).$$

These ideas will be applied to the algebra of octonians.

## 2 Octonians

The octonians can be derived from the quaternions using the Fano plane.

The idea is to make every line into a copy of the quaternions, and every point into a complex number. Notice that every two points lie on a unique line, and so their product can be determined in their enveloping quaternion algebra.

More specifically, let  $i_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq 7$ , together with 1, be the elements of a basis for an 8-dimensional real vector space. We will define a multiplication on them by assuming that  $\{i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+3}\}$  (modulo 8) form a basis for the imaginary quaternions. [Note that  $i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+3}$  modulo 8, as  $n$  varies, gives seven collections of three basis elements, such that any two collections intersect in a unique basis element, and any two basis elements lie inside a unique collection.]

If one needs the basis multiplication written in a table, here it is.

	$i_1$	$i_2$	$i_3$	$i_4$	$i_5$	$i_6$	$i_7$
$i_1$	-1	$i_4$	$i_7$	$-i_2$	$i_6$	$-i_5$	$-i_3$
$i_2$	$-i_4$	-1	$i_5$	$i_1$	$-i_3$	$i_7$	$-i_6$
$i_3$	$-i_7$	$-i_5$	-1	$i_6$	$i_2$	$-i_4$	$i_1$
$i_4$	$i_2$	$-i_1$	$-i_6$	-1	$i_7$	$i_3$	$-i_5$
$i_5$	$-i_6$	$i_3$	$-i_2$	$-i_7$	-1	$i_1$	$i_4$
$i_6$	$i_5$	$-i_7$	$i_4$	$-i_3$	$-i_1$	-1	$i_2$
$i_7$	$i_3$	$i_6$	$-i_1$	$i_5$	$-i_4$	$-i_2$	-1

The multiplication is then extended by linearity to the whole vector space. This 8-dimensional vector space, together with this multiplication, becomes an 8-dimensional, non-commutative, non-associative,  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

The group of units of this algebra,  $\{\pm 1, \pm i_j\}$ , do not form a group, although this is difficult to see just from the multiplication table. The reason is that this collection is non-associative. However, it does satisfy certain types of associativity laws, which we will come to soon. Before this, we will define a quasigroup and a loop.

A *quasigroup* is a set  $Q$  with a binary operation such that if  $a, b \in Q$  then there exists unique elements  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $ax = b$  and  $ya = b$ . Another way of thinking of this is that the multiplication table has as rows and columns permutations of the set  $Q$ . A *loop* is a quasigroup with an identity.

If we impose certain conditions about bracketing, we get a Moufang loop. A *Moufang loop* (Ruth Moufang 1905–1977) is a loop that satisfies any one of the three (equivalent) conditions

- (i)  $(xy)(zx) = (x(yz))x$ ;
- (ii)  $x(y(xz)) = ((xy)x)z$ ; and
- (iii)  $((xy)z)x = x(y(zx))$ .

Again, we can exchange the ground field from  $\mathbb{R}$  to any field of characteristic not equal to 2: to deal with the case when the field has characteristic 2, we will have to be sneaky. Before we do this, we will consider the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{O}_K$ , the algebra of octonions over the field  $K$ . (If  $K = \text{GF}(q)$ , we will also write  $\mathbb{O}_q$ .)

### 3 The Automorphism Groups

The automorphism group of this non-associative algebra will be referred to as  $G_2(K)$ , where  $K$  is the field over which we are taking our octonions, or  $G_2(q)$  when  $K$  has order  $q$ . It turns

out that this is a finite simple group, and is in fact the group of Lie type corresponding to the Dynkin diagram of type  $G_2$  (hence the name).

We will give a brief sketch of how to calculate the order of  $G_2(q)$ , when  $q$  is odd, from the natural 7-dimensional representation. Notice that  $i_1, i_2$  and  $i_3$  generate the entire algebra, so we simply need to determine the images of these elements. The triple  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  are a set of mutually orthogonal purely imaginary octonians of norm 1, and  $i_3$  is orthogonal to  $i_1 i_2$ . The idea is to show that  $G = G_2(q)$  is transitive on such triples. Then we count such triples.

In fact, we count the number of such triples  $(i, j, k)$  first. Let  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  so that  $\varepsilon \equiv q \pmod{4}$ . Then  $i$  is a vector of norm 1 in the 7-dimensional normed vector space, and so there are  $|\mathrm{SO}_7(q)|/|\mathrm{SO}_6^\varepsilon(q)| = q^6 + \varepsilon q^3$  choices for  $i$ . Next, to choose  $j$ , we can pick any vector of norm 1 in the space  $\mathrm{O}_6^\varepsilon(q)$ , so we get  $q^5 - \varepsilon q^2$ . Lastly, we need to pick  $k$ , and it has to be orthogonal to  $i, j$ , and  $ij$ , so that  $k$  has to be chosen from a  $\mathrm{O}_4^+(q)$ -space, and there are  $q^3 - q$  such vectors.

Putting all of this together, we get

$$|G_2(q)| = q^6(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1).$$

It remains to show that  $G$  is transitive on all triples  $(i, j, k)$  where all elements are mutually orthogonal and  $ij$  is orthogonal to  $k$ . If  $i, j, k$ , and  $ij = l$  are purely imaginary norm 1 octonians, then  $i^2 = -1$ , and so on with all of the others. Secondly, when one multiplies  $i$  by  $j$ , one gets a series of terms in  $i_\alpha i_\beta$ , and notice that the coefficient of  $i_\alpha i_\beta$  is the negative of that of  $i_\beta i_\alpha$ , unless  $\alpha = \beta$ , in which case the sum over all  $\alpha$  is zero (as  $ij$  is purely imaginary). Hence  $ij = -ji$ .

In the expansion of  $(ij)k$ , the terms that are associative correspond to the real parts of  $ij, jk, ik$  or  $(ij)k$ , and each of these sets of terms individually adds up to 0, so that  $(ij)k = -k(ij)$ . Finally,  $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$ , so that multiplication by a norm 1 octonion preserves norms and inner products. Thus

$$\{1, i, j, ij, k, ik, jk, (ij)k\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{O}_q$ . We can see that all multiplications of elements of  $\mathbb{O}_q$  are determined by those of the basis, and so we are done.

## 4 A Change of Basis

At the moment we can only deal with the cases where the field has odd order. However, there are also groups of Lie type corresponding to  $G_2$  over fields of even characteristic as

well. To get an algebra over  $\text{GF}(2^n)$ , we need to muck about with our basis, to get one that doesn't become commutative in characteristic 2.

If  $q$  is odd, then inside  $\text{GF}(q)$ , there are solutions to the equation  $a^2 + b^2 = -1$  and  $b \neq 0$ . We will define a basis using  $a$  and  $b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 &= ai_4 + i_6 + bi_7 & 2x_8 &= -ai_4 + i_6 - bi_7 \\ 2x_2 &= ai_2 + bi_3 + i_5 & 2x_7 &= -ai_2 - bi_3 + i_5 \\ 2x_3 &= -i_1 - bi_4 + ai_7 & 2x_6 &= -i_1 + bi_4 - ai_7 \\ 2x_4 &= 1 - ai_3 + bi_2 & 2x_5 &= 1 + ai_3 - bi_2 \end{aligned}$$

With respect to this basis, we have the multiplication as given below.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$
$x_1$	0	0	0	0	$x_1$	$-x_2$	$x_3$	$-x_4$
$x_2$	0	0	$x_1$	$x_2$	0	0	$-x_5$	$-x_6$
$x_3$	0	$-x_1$	0	$x_3$	0	$-x_5$	0	$x_7$
$x_4$	$x_1$	0	0	$x_4$	0	$x_6$	$x_7$	0
$x_5$	0	$x_2$	$x_3$	0	$x_5$	0	0	$x_8$
$x_6$	$x_2$	0	$-x_4$	0	$x_6$	0	$-x_8$	0
$x_7$	$-x_3$	$-x_4$	0	0	$x_7$	$x_8$	0	0
$x_8$	$-x_5$	$x_6$	$-x_7$	$x_8$	0	0	0	0

Since  $a^2 + b^2 = -1$  has no solutions in the real numbers, the algebra generated by the  $x_j$  is *not* isomorphic with the traditional, *compact form* of the octonians: this form is called the *split form*.

With this action we can now define an algebra in characteristic 2. The automorphism group,  $G_2(2^n)$ , is both simple, and has the same order as its odd counterparts,  $q^6(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1)$ .

## 5 The Group $J_2$

The group  $\text{PSU}_3(3)$  is a permutation group on 28 points, or is a matrix group of  $3 \times 3$  unitary matrices, generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \omega^5 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It has a single conjugacy class of involutions,  $\mathcal{I}$ , totalling some 63 members. It also has a single conjugacy class of 36 maximal subgroups  $\mathcal{H}$ , isomorphic with  $\mathrm{SL}_3(2)$ , a element of which is given by

$$H = \left\langle \left( \begin{pmatrix} \omega^5 & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega & 0 & \omega^6 \\ 2 & \omega^3 & \omega^7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega^6 & 0 & 2 \\ \omega^6 & 2 & 2 \\ \omega^5 & 1 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\rangle.$$

We produce a graph  $\Gamma$ , consisting of a hundred vertices,  $\{\star\} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\star$  is connected to every vertex in  $\mathcal{H}$ , two elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  are connected if their product has order 4, two elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are connected if their intersection is  $S_4$ , and joining an element of  $\mathcal{I}$  to an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  whenever the subgroup contains the involution. Let  $G$  denote the group of automorphisms of this graph. It can be thought of as a permutation group on 100 points. We need  $|G|$ : since  $G$  is transitive on  $\Gamma$  (not obvious), we simply need the size of a vertex stabilizer, which is  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3) : 2$ . Hence  $|G| = 1209600$ .

[There is an odd permutation: if one fixes a point in the 36-orbit, it breaks up as  $1 + 7 + 7 + 21$  under the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(2)$ , and the 63-orbit breaks up as three 21-orbits. There is a symmetry in  $\mathrm{SL}_3(2)$  that fixes the 7-orbits pointwise, swaps the four 21-orbits in pairs, and commutes with the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(2)$ .]

This action is not contained within  $A_{100}$ , and so we see that  $G$  has a subgroup of index 2. It is this group that we will denote by  $J_2$ .

By construction,  $J_2$  contains a (maximal) subgroup isomorphic with  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3) = G_2(2)'$ . Also,  $J_2$  is contained as a maximal subgroup in  $G_2(4)$ . This ‘sandwiching’ considerably restricts its representation theory. Here is the table of  $J_2$ ’s simple modules in characteristic 2.

Block	Simple Modules	Defect Group
1	$\{1, 6_1, 6_2, 14_1, 14_2, 36, 84\}$	Sylow
2	$\{64_1, 64_2, 160\}$	Defect 2

Each of these simple modules, with the exception of the 160-dimensional module, is the restriction of a simple module for  $G_2(4)$ . To finish off, there is a 196-dimensional representation of  $G_2(4)$  that restricts to the direct sum of the 160-dimensional representation and the 36-dimensional representation.

Now let us go in the opposite direction, restricting  $J_2$ -modules down to  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3) = G_2(2)'$ . Firstly, we need a table of  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ -modules.

Block	Simple Modules	Defect Group
1	$\{1, 6, 14\}$	Sylow
2,3	$\{32\}, \{32^*\}$	Defect 0

The two 6-dimensional modules for  $J_2$  have simple restriction, as do the two 14-dimensional modules. The two 64-dimensional modules have semisimple restriction. The 36- and 160-dimensional modules have complicated restriction, and the 84-dimensional module restricts to the two 32-dimensionals plus a 20-dimensional uniserial module, with socle layers 6, K, 6, K, 6.

We can now quite easily read off facts about  $J_2$  from this: the three modules in the unique block of defect  $V_4$  are all algebraic, by general results that will be published at some point. The 6- and 14-dimensional modules for  $\text{PSU}_3(3)$  are both non-algebraic, and so therefore are all except perhaps for the 36- and 84-dimensional modules for  $J_2$ . These two can easily be dealt with by restricting down to a  $V_4$ -subgroup and applying the fact that an indecomposable  $V_4$ -module is algebraic if and only if it is trivial or even-dimensional. Hence we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $G = J_2$ , and let  $M$  be a simple  $KG$ -module, where  $K$  is a splitting field of characteristic 2. Then  $M$  is algebraic if and only if  $M$  is trivial or  $M$  lies in a block of defect  $V_4$ .