

Nervous Categories

David A. Craven

17th October 2007

The Martino–Priddy conjecture was recently solved by Bob Oliver (about 2002/03). It says the following.

Theorem A Let G and H be finite groups, with Sylow p -subgroups P and Q respectively. Then

$$BG_p^\wedge \simeq BH_p^\wedge \iff \mathcal{F}_P(G) = \mathcal{F}_Q(H).$$

The aim of this talk is to explain what this line of symbols means. This talk consists of three parts.

- (i) A description of BG , the classifying space of G .
- (ii) A description of $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, the fusion system of a finite group.
- (iii) Some discussion of Bousfield–Kan p -completions.

1 BG

BG is the nerve of a one-point category. So what is the nerve of a category? let \mathcal{C} be a small category. Define $|\mathcal{C}|$, the (geometric realization of the) nerve of \mathcal{C} , to be constructed as follows.

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \left(\coprod_{n \geq 0} \coprod_{c_0 \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow \dots} \Delta^n \right) / \sim.$$

Start with $Ob(\mathcal{C})$ as the vertices.

For all non-identity morphisms $c_0 \rightarrow c_1$, add an edge between the corresponding vertices. (These are the 1-simplices.)

For all commutative triangles $c_0 \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow c_2$, add the 2-simplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & c_1 & \\ \phi \nearrow & & \searrow \psi \\ c_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi\phi} & c_2 \end{array}$$

Continue for all commutative tetrahedra, and so on. Then $|\mathcal{C}|$ is the complex corresponding to these.

Example 1.1 Let $\Delta(n)$ be the category with objects the integers $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Hom}(i, j)$ empty if $i > j$, and consisting of a single element if $i \leq j$. Then $|\Delta(n)|$ is the n -simplex.

Let F be a functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . Then F induces a continuous map $|F| : |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$. If F and F' are functors with a natural transformation $F \rightarrow F'$, this induces a homotopy $|F| \simeq |F'|$.

If \mathcal{C} has an initial or terminal object then $|\mathcal{C}|$ is contractible. The way to see this geometrically is that if $*$ is a terminal object, then all simplices with $*$ as a vertex can be collapsed, and similarly for initial objects.

Let G be a discrete group (e.g., G finite). Let $\mathcal{E}(G)$ be the category whose objects are G and a unique morphism $g \rightarrow h$ for $g, h \in G$ (labelled by hg^{-1}). Since every object is initial (and terminal), $|\mathcal{E}(G)|$ is contractible. Let $\mathcal{B}(G)$ be the category with one object o_G , and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(G)}(o_G, o_G) = G$, with composition given by multiplication in G . Notice that G acts on $\mathcal{E}(G)$, and we may form the quotient

$$\mathcal{E}(G)/G \cong \mathcal{B}(G).$$

Thus $|\mathcal{E}(G)|/G \cong |\mathcal{B}(G)|$. (Note also that $\pi_1(|\mathcal{B}(G)|) = G$.)

Let G be a discrete group. A *classifying space* for G is a path-connected space BG such that $\pi_1(BG) = G$ and $\widetilde{BG} = EG$ is contractible. Any two classifying spaces are homotopy equivalent.

Notice that $|\mathcal{B}(G)|$ is a classifying space for G !

2 $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$

Definition 2.1 Suppose that $P \leq H \leq G$. We say that H *controls fusion* in P with respect to G if whenever $A, B \subseteq P$ are conjugate in G via g , they are conjugate in H via h with $c_g = c_h$ on A (where c_g denotes conjugation by g).

Lemma 2.2 (Burnside) If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and S is abelian, then $N_G(S)$ controls fusion in S with respect to G .

Lemma 2.3 Suppose that $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is a TI subgroup (i.e., if $g \in G \setminus N_G(S)$ then $S^g \cap S = 1$). Then $N_G(S)$ controls fusion in S with respect to G .

Theorem 2.4 (Frobenius's normal p -complement theorem) Suppose that $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) G is p -nilpotent (i.e., there exists $K \trianglelefteq G$ such that $G = K \rtimes S$).
- (ii) S controls fusion on S with respect to G .

Fusion is important in many situations in group theory.

Theorem 2.5 (Solomon) There is no finite group G with Sylow 2-subgroup S that of $\text{Spin}_7(3)$, such that all involutions are conjugate in G and all fusion in S induced by $\text{Spin}_7(3)$ is induced by G .

The interesting thing about this theorem is its proof. It relies on extracting 3-local information, not proving the the fusion of elements in a Sylow 2-subgroup is impossible.

Definition 2.6 Let G be a finite group and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. The *fusion system* of G on S is the category $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, with objects all subgroups of S , and as morphisms the set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_S(G)}(P, Q) = \{c_g : P \rightarrow Q \mid g \in G \text{ and } P^g \leq Q\}.$$

Fusion systems can, however, be defined more axiomatically and abstractly.

Definition 2.7 Let P be a finite p -group. A *fusion system* on P is a category \mathcal{F} , with objects all subgroups of P , and morphism set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ consisting of injective group homomorphism satisfying three conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) If $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$, then the induced isomorphism $\phi : Q \rightarrow \phi(Q)$ lies in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, \phi(Q))$.
- (iii) If $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ is an isomorphism, then $\phi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(R, Q)$.

Fusion systems themselves are loose objects, and look far from coming from finite groups. One point is that in groups all p -automorphisms of subgroups come from a Sylow p -subgroup of G . A *saturated* fusion system satisfies two more axioms, one concerning p -automorphisms in $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$, and the other allowing us to use induction by ensuring that certain isomorphisms between subgroups extend to overgroups.

Proposition 2.8 if G is a finite group, then $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is a fusion system on S .

We may now restate Solomon's theorem.

Theorem 2.9 (Solomon, Levi, Oliver) There exists a saturated fusion system \mathcal{F} on $S \in \text{Syl}_2(\text{Spin}_7(3))$ such that $\mathcal{F}_S(\text{Spin}_7(3)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and all involutions are \mathcal{F} -conjugate. Furthermore, \mathcal{F} is not the fusion system of any finite group.

We may also restate Frobenius's theorem.

Theorem 2.10 (Frobenius) If G is a finite group and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $G = K \rtimes S$ for some p' -group K if and only if $\mathcal{F}_S(G) = \mathcal{F}_S(S)$.

The control of fusion statements of Burnside and about TI Sylow p -subgroups can be restated as $\mathcal{F}_S(G) = \mathcal{F}_S(N_G(S))$.

Why study fusion systems? They also exist for blocks of finite groups, have connections to topology via considering $|\mathcal{F}_S(G)|$, and allow one to discuss fusion in finite groups in abstract terms.

3 Bousfield–Kan Completions

The idea is to understand ordinary homotopy theory by studying the mod- p components. Thus we use the p -completion to get information on mod- p components, together with a completion over the rationals, then piece the components back together to get back some information about the original space.

What is a p -completion? It is a functor on topological space $\lambda : X \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$ that maps mod- p -cohomology equivalences to homotopy equivalences. A space X is p -complete if $\lambda : X \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$ is a homotopy equivalence, and p -good if X_p^\wedge is p -complete. If $\pi_1(X)$ is finite then X is p -good, so BG is p -good for G a finite group.