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[Added later: In 2009, A. N. Trahtman published The road coloring problem in Israel J. Math.] This solved a long-standing conjecture, which we will describe (most of) now.

Let $\Gamma$ be a digraph, and suppose that all vertices of $\Gamma$ have the same out-degree. Is there a colouring $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ of the edges, and a word $s$, such that there is a vertex $v_{0}$ with $v s=v_{0}$ for all $v \in V$ ? In other words, is there a colouring and a set of instructions such that wherever you start you end up at the same vertex? Such a word is called a synchronizing word. Not all digraphs have a synchronizing word: for example, take the square with an arrow in each direction. Any word $s$ such that $v s=v$ has even length, and if $u s=v$ for some vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ then $u$ has odd length. More generally, the following condition is necessary.

The gcd of the lengths of all cycles in $\Gamma$ is 1 .
We also want that every vertex is reachable from every other vertex. Call such a digraph an AGW graph, named after Adler, Goodwyn and Weiss.

Theorem 1 (Trahtman, 2007) Every AGW graph has a colouring such that it possesses a synchronizing word.

We won't prove this, but we will get quite close.
Definition 2 Let $\Gamma$ be an AGW graph, with a colouring. We introduce an equivalence relation on $V$ by saying that $u \equiv v$ if for all words $s$, there is a word $t$ such that $u(s t)=v(s t)$.

A pair $(u, v)$ of vertices is called synchronizing if there is a word $s$ such that $u s=v s$, and if no such word exists the pair is called deadlock. A synchronizing pair $(u, v)$ is called stable if for any word $s$, the pair $(u s, v s)$ is also synchronizing.

A theorem of Kari states that $\Gamma$ is synchronizing (i.e., has a synchonizing word) if there is a colouring with a stable pair. (Go by induction. Take the quotient digraph by $\equiv$, and colour the resulting smaller digraph, then lift this to a recolouring of $\Gamma$.)

The set of all outgoing edges from a vertex is called a bunch if they all have the same target.

As a remark, if $v \in V$ has two incoming bunches, from $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, then for any colour $\alpha, u_{1} \alpha=v=u_{2} \alpha$, so $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is a stable pair (write any word $s$ as $s=\alpha s^{\prime}$, so then $u_{i} s=u_{i} \alpha s^{\prime}=v s^{\prime}$, and ( $\left.u_{1} s, u_{2} s\right)$ is synchronizing $)$.

Suppose that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ are vertices and that the out-degree of each vertex is $d$. Let $A$ denote the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. Let $u$ be a left eigenvector with positive integer components, having no common divisors. The $i$ th component of $u$ is called the weight of $v_{i}$, and is denoted $w\left(v_{i}\right)$. Define $w(D)$ to be the dum of the weights of the vertices of $D \subseteq V$. We claim that $w(V)=n$, where $n$ is the out-degree of $v_{i}$.

Consider all subsets $D$ of $V$ such that $|D s|=1$ for some $s$, and $w(D)$ is maximal. these are called $F$-maximal subsets.

We claim that if $U$ is $F$-maximal, so if $U s^{-1}$, where $s$ is a word. It suffices to assume that $s$ is a single colour $\alpha_{i}$. Since $w A=n w$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(U \alpha_{i}^{-1}\right)=n w(U)
$$

Therefore, either one of the $U \alpha_{i}^{-1}$ has greater weight than $U$, or all $U \alpha_{i}^{-1}$ have the same weight. If $U$ is $F$-maximal, the former possibility cannot occur, and so $U \alpha_{i}^{-1}$ is also $F$ maximal. This will play a role in the proof to follow.

Theorem 3 (Friedman, 1990) There exists a partition of $\Gamma$ into $F$-maximal sets.
Proof: Let $T_{0}$ be an $F$-maximal set, and let $s$ be a word such that $\left|T_{0} s\right|=1, T_{1} s=v_{0}$. if $T_{0}=V$, then we are done (and proved the theorem!).

If $T_{0} \neq V$, we claim we can extend $s$ backwards to $s^{\prime}$, so that $s^{\prime}$ sends $T_{0}$ to one vertex and some other $F$-maximal set to another vertex. Consider $s^{-1}$, which maps $V$ to subsets of $V$, which form a partition of $V$. Let $v \notin T_{0}$, and let $r$ map $v_{0}$ to $v$. Let $s^{\prime}=s r s$.

Since $T_{0}$ is $F$-maximal, so is $T_{1}=T_{0} r^{-1} s^{-1}$. Notice that $T_{1} s^{\prime}=v_{0}$ and $T_{0} s^{\prime}=v_{0} r s \neq v_{0}$. Hence $s^{\prime}$ sends $T_{0}$ to $v_{0} r s$ and $T_{1}$ to $v_{0}$. Repeating this procedure completes the theorem.

## 1 Cliques

An $F$-clique is a subset $V s \subseteq V$ (for some word $s$ ) such that all pairs in $V s$ are in deadlock.

Lemma 4 Let $w$ be the weight of an $F$-maximal set. The size of an $F$-clique $A$ is $w(\Gamma) / w$, i.e., the size of a partition given in Theorem 3 above.

Proof: If $u, v$ lie in some $F$-maximal set, then they cannot belong to some $F$-clique, so $|A| \leqslant W(\Gamma) / w$.

Now let $V s$ be an $F$-clique. If $v \in V s$, the sum of all weights $v s^{-1}$ is $w(\Gamma)$. Therefore

$$
w(\Gamma)=\sum_{v \in V s} w\left(v s^{-1}\right) .
$$

The weight of each $w\left(v s^{-1}\right)$ is at most $w$, as this is the maximum weight of a set $D$ such that $|D s|=1$. Hence

$$
w(\Gamma)=\frac{w(\Gamma)}{w} \cdot w \leqslant|A| \cdot w .
$$

Hence $w(\Gamma) / w \leqslant|A|$, as claimed.
Lemma 5 Let $A$ be an $F$-clique. For any $s, A s$ is also an $F$-clique, and any vertex belongs to some $F$-clique.

Proof: Obvious.
Lemma 6 Let $A$ and $B(|A|>1)$ be distinct $F$-clique. Suppose that $\Gamma$ has no stable pairs., Then $|A|=|B|$, and $|A \backslash B|>1$.

Proof: By Lemma $4|A|=|B|$. Let $A \backslash B=\{v\}$, and $B \backslash A=\{u\}$. As $\Gamma$ has no stable pairs, for some word $s,(v s, u s)$ is a deadlock. Also, any pair from $A, A s, B, B s$ is a deadlock. Hence $(A \cup B) s$ is a set, any pair of vertices from which is a deadlock. But $|(A \cup B) s|$ is greater than $|A|$, so must have two elements from the same $F$-maximal set, a contradiction. Thus $|A \backslash B|>1$.

## 2 Spanning Subgraphs

Definition 7 A subgraph $S$ of $\Gamma$ is a spanning subgraph if it contains all vertices and exactly one out-edge for each vertex. A maximal sub-rooted tree with root on a cycle from $S$ and having no common edges with cycles from $S$ is called a tree of $S$. The distance of a vertex from the root is called the level of the vertex.

The idea of this definition is that a spanning subgraph might consist of all edges of a particular colour. By studying these, we will be able to prove the theorem.

Lemma 8 Suppose that any vertex on $\Gamma$ has no two incoming bunches. Then $\Gamma$ has a spanning subgraph such that all vertices of maximal positive level belong to one non-trivial tree.

Let's see how to prove the main theorem from this lemma. If we have a vertex with two incoming bunches, we are done by the remarks earlier. If not, by Lemma $8, \Gamma$ has a spanning subgraph $R$ such that vertices of maximal positive level $L$ belong to one tree of $R$.

Give the edges of $R$ the colour $\alpha$, and $C$ be all vertices from cycles of $R$. Colour all other edges arbitrarily.

Some $F$-clique $F$ has non-empty intersection with set $N$ of maximal level $L . N$ belongs to one tree. $|N \cap F|=1$, because the word $\alpha^{L}$ maps all elements of $N$ to the root. The word $\alpha^{L-1}$ maps $F$ to another $F$-clique $F^{\prime}$, of size $|F|$. Certainly $\left|F^{\prime} \backslash C\right|=1$ because if $v$ is on a tree, then $v \alpha^{L-1}$ is on a cycle (as the root is on a cycle) unless $v$ has level $L$, in which case

$$
\left|F^{\prime} \backslash C\right|=\left|N \alpha^{L-1} \cap F^{\prime}\right|=1
$$

(as no two $v \alpha^{L-1}$ in $N \alpha^{L-1}$ are in deadlock. Therefore $\left|C \cap F_{1}\right|=\left|F_{1}\right|-1$.
Now, let $m$ be a multiple of all lengths of all cycles in $C$. For any $v \in C, v \alpha^{m}=v$. Therefore if $F^{\prime \prime}=F^{\prime} \alpha^{m}$, we have that $F^{\prime \prime} \subseteq C$ and $C \cap F^{\prime}=F^{\prime} \cap F^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$ of size $\left|F^{\prime}\right|>1,\left|F^{\prime \prime}\right|>1$, have $\left|F^{\prime}\right|-1$ common vertices, contradicting Lemma 6. Thus there exists a stable couple by Lemma 6, and we are done.

