

# The Number of $t$ -Cores of Size $n$

David A. Craven, University of Oxford

August 2006

In this article we will prove two theorems regarding the number  $c_t(n)$  of  $t$ -cores of size  $n$ : the first describes the behaviour of the function  $c_t(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t$  is a proportion of  $n$ ; and the second describes the behaviour of  $c_t(n)$  where  $t > n/2$ . We will also derive bounds on the quotient  $p(n+1)/p(n)$ , where  $p(n)$  denotes the partition function.

## 1 Introduction

The main focus of this article is on the function  $c_t(n)$ , which measures the number of  $t$ -cores of size  $n$  for each natural number  $n$  and  $t$ . A famous result of Granville and Ono [2] states that, for all  $n$  and for all  $t \geq 4$ , we have that  $c_t(n) > 0$ . Numerical evidence led Stanton in [4] to state the (in his words ‘possibly rash’) monotonicity conjecture, namely that for all  $n$  and for all  $t \geq 4$ ,

$$c_{t+1}(n) \geq c_t(n).$$

Indeed, it was slightly rash since in its stated form it is technically not true: in fact,  $c_{n-1}(n) = p(n) - (n-1)$ , where  $p(n)$  is the partition function, and  $c_n(n) = p(n) - n$ . However, one can restrict the range of  $t$  to get the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1 (Monotonicity Conjecture)** Suppose that  $n$  and  $t$  are natural numbers, and that  $4 \leq t < n-1$ . Then

$$c_{t+1}(n) \geq c_t(n).$$

One of the results in this article is that this conjecture is true for  $t > n/2$ , and in this case the inequality is strict. The method of proving this is elementary, although it might be able to be applied to smaller values of  $t$ , at least in the range  $\sqrt{n} \leq t \leq n/2$ .

The first theorem proved here is concerned with the proportion of  $t$ -cores of  $n$ , as  $n$  tends to infinity. If  $t$  is defined to be a proportion of  $n$ , say  $t = n/3$ , then in the limit the ratio of  $t$ -cores of  $n$  by all partitions of  $n$  tends to 1. More generally, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose that  $q$  is a real number lying strictly between 0 and 1. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{c_{\lfloor qn \rfloor}(n)}{p(n)} \rightarrow 1.$$

Turning to the question of the monotonicity conjecture, we introduce a function from the set of all partitions of  $n$  to the set of all partitions of  $n+1$ . Crude bounds for the factors involved in this function yields a (crude) lower bound on the quantity  $p(n+1)/p(n)$ , which is nevertheless enough to prove half of the monotonicity conjecture.

**Theorem 1.3** Suppose that  $n$  is an integer, and let  $t$  be an integer such that  $t \geq 4$ , and  $n/2 < t < n - 1$ . Then  $c_t(n) < c_{t+1}(n)$ .

## 2 The Asymptotics of the Number of Cores

In this section we will derive two related formulae for the number of  $t$ -cores of  $n$ , and apply one of them to prove Theorem 1.2. Let us start by recalling some facts about the weights of partitions.

Suppose that  $n$  and  $t$  are natural numbers, and write  $n = td + r$ , where  $0 \leq r < t$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ , with  $t$ -core  $\lambda'$ . Then  $|\lambda| - |\lambda'|$  is a multiple of  $t$ , and this multiple  $x$  is referred to as the *weight* of a partition. The number of partitions  $\lambda$  of  $n$  with the same  $t$ -core  $\lambda'$  is given by the number of multipartitions of  $x$  into exactly  $t$  partitions. (For example, if  $x = 1$ , then this number is  $t$ , and if  $x = 2$  then this number is  $(t^2 + 3t)/2$ .) In particular, the number of partitions with a given  $t$ -core is independent of the shape of the core, and depends only on the weight of the partitions involved (the weight is the same for each partition) and the number  $t$ . (See [3, 2.7.17].) Denote by  $w_t(x)$  the number of partitions of weight  $x$  with the same  $t$ -core, or equivalently, the number of multipartitions of  $x$  into  $t$  partitions. The following result is therefore obvious.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $n$  and  $t$  be natural numbers, and let  $\lfloor n/t \rfloor = d$ . Then

$$c_t(n) = p(n) - \sum_{x=1}^d w_t(x)c_t(n - xt).$$

We desire a formula that expresses the quantity  $c_t(n)$  as a combination of partition functions only, not of the number of  $t$ -cores of other integers. Before we state this formula, suppose that  $\lambda$  is a composition of  $n$ ; by this we mean a sequence of positive integers  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  such that their sum is equal to  $n$ . Then define  $w_t(\lambda)$  by the equation

$$w_t(\lambda) = (-1)^r w_t(\lambda_1)w_t(\lambda_2) \dots w_t(\lambda_r).$$

We write  $\lambda \models n$  to mean that  $\lambda$  is a composition of  $n$ .

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $n$  and  $t$  be natural numbers, and let  $\lfloor n/t \rfloor = d$ . Then

$$c_t(n) = p(n) + \sum_{x=1}^d \sum_{\lambda \models x} w_t(\lambda)p(n - xt).$$

**Proof:** We proceed by induction on  $d$ , noting that in the case where  $d = 1$ , we get

$$c_t(n) = p(n) - w_t(1)p(n - t),$$

as in Lemma 2.1.

Using the expression from Lemma 2.1 and induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c_t(n) &= p(n) - \sum_{x=1}^d w_t(x)c_t(n-xt) \\
&= p(n) - \sum_{x=1}^d w_t(x) \left( p(n-xt) + \sum_{y=1}^{d-x} \sum_{\lambda \models y} w_t(\lambda)p(n-(x+y)t) \right) \\
&= p(n) + \left( \sum_{x=1}^d \sum_{y=1}^{d-x} \sum_{\lambda \models y} (-1)w_t(x)w_t(\lambda)p(n-(x+y)t) \right) + \left( \sum_{x=1}^d (-1)w_t(x)p(n-xt) \right) \\
&= p(n) + \sum_{x=1}^d \sum_{\lambda \models x} w_t(\lambda)p(n-xt).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result.  $\square$

We now extend the partition function  $p(n)$  so that  $p(r) = p(\lfloor r \rfloor)$  in the case where  $r$  is a real number. We can now state the following result, which is Theorem 1.2 from the introduction.

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose that  $0 < q < 1$  is a real number. Extending our notation, for any integer  $n$ , write  $c_{qn}(n)$  for the number of  $\lfloor qn \rfloor$ -cores of  $n$ . Then as  $n$  tends to infinity, we have

$$\frac{c_{qn}(n)}{p(n)} \rightarrow 1;$$

that is, for any  $0 < q < 1$ , the number of partitions of  $n$  that are not  $\lfloor qn \rfloor$ -cores becomes negligible as  $n$  tends to infinity.

To prove this, notice that it will follow from Proposition 2.2 if we can show that  $w_{qn}(\lambda)p(qn)/p(n)$  tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity. To prove this, we need two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4** The functions  $w_t(x)$  are polynomials in  $t$  of degree  $x$ , whenever  $t > x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $M(x, t)$  denote the set of all multipartitions of  $x$  into exactly  $t$  partitions. Since  $t > x$ , a multipartition of  $x$  into  $t$  partitions must have at least  $t - x$  zero partitions. We will count the number of multipartitions lying in  $M(x, t)$  that have exactly  $a$  non-zero partitions.

Firstly, let us count the size of  $M'(x, a)$ , the multipartitions of  $x$  into  $a$  partitions, none of which is zero. If  $a = 1$  then this number is  $p(x)$ , if  $a = 2$  then this number is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{x-1} p(i)p(x-i),$$

and in general this quantity is

$$\sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda_1)p(\lambda_2)\dots p(\lambda_a),$$

where the sum ranges over all compositions of  $x$  into  $a$  parts. Notice that this quantity  $|M'(x, a)|$  is independent of  $t$ .

Finally, for each element  $\lambda'$  of  $M'(x, a)$ , there are  $t!/a!(t-a)!$  different elements of  $M(x, t)$  whose non-zero partitions are the same as those of  $\lambda'$  in that order. Thus

$$|M(x, t)| = \binom{t}{1}|M'(x, 1)| + \binom{t}{2}|M'(x, 2)| + \dots + \binom{t}{x}|M'(x, x)|.$$

This is clearly a polynomial in  $t$ , of degree  $x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $0 < q < 1$  be a real number. Let  $f(x)$  denote any polynomial. Then, as  $n$  tends to infinity, we have

$$f(qn) \frac{p(qn)}{p(n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof:** To prove this, we simply need to show that  $p(qn)/p(n)$  tends to zero faster than any reciprocal of a polynomial. Recall [1, 5.12] the Hardy–Ramanujan asymptotic formula for  $p(n)$ ,

$$p(n) \sim \frac{e^{c\sqrt{n}}}{bn},$$

where  $c$  and  $b$  are positive constants. Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have, for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\frac{e^{c\sqrt{n}}}{bn} (1 - \varepsilon) < p(n) < \frac{e^{c\sqrt{n}}}{bn} (1 + \varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(qn)}{p(n)} &\leq \frac{e^{c\sqrt{qn}}(1 + \varepsilon)/bqn}{e^{c\sqrt{n}}(1 - \varepsilon)/bn} \\ &= e^{c\sqrt{q-1}\sqrt{n}} q \left( \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero faster than any reciprocal of a polynomial, since  $e^{\sqrt{n}}$  grows faster than any polynomial.  $\square$

This therefore proves Theorem 2.3.

### 3 The Monotonicity Conjecture

Suppose that  $n$  is a natural number, and that  $n/2 < t < n - 1$ . We wish to prove that  $c_t(n) < c_{t+1}(n)$ . By Lemma 2.1, the quantity  $c_{t+1}(n) - c_t(n)$  is given by

$$p(n - t)w_t(1) - p(n - t - 1)w_{t+1}(1).$$

Recall that  $w_t(1) = t$ . Then the monotonicity conjecture, for  $t$  in this range, becomes

$$\frac{p(n - t)}{p(n - t - 1)} > \frac{t + 1}{t}.$$

We will in fact show that  $p(n + 1)/p(n) > (n + 1)/n$  if  $n \geq 3$ , which, since  $n - t < t + 1$  and  $t \geq 4$ , yields the result.

To prove the growth condition on  $p(n)$  given above, we will give a function from the set of all partitions of  $n$  to those of  $n + 1$ . This yields an exact expression for  $p(n + 1)/p(n)$ , and by inserting the crudest reasonable bounds for the terms involved, we get  $p(n + 1)/p(n) > (n + 1)/n$ .

Let  $P(n)$  denote the set of all partitions of  $n$ : we will decompose the set  $P(n)$  into the disjoint union of three subsets,  $A(n)$ ,  $B(n)$  and  $C(n)$ . The first,  $A(n)$ , consists of all partitions whose last part is 1. The set  $B(n)$  consists of all partitions  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  for which  $\lambda_r > 1$  and  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ , together with the partition  $(n)$ . The third set,  $C(n)$ , consists of all partitions  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  for which  $\lambda_r > 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Write  $a(n) = |A(n)|$ , and so on.

Firstly, notice that  $a(n) = p(n - 1)$ , since the function between  $P(n - 1)$  and  $A(n)$  obtained by adding 1 to the end of each partition is clearly bijective. Thus we have

$$p(n) = p(n - 1) + b(n) + c(n).$$

Next, we describe a function from  $P(n)$  to  $B(n+1)$ : this function is surjective, but obviously not injective. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  be a partition of  $n$ , with the last  $k$  parts of  $\lambda$  equal to 1. Then define  $f(\lambda)$  by

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + 1 + k, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{r-k});$$

that is, by removing the parts of size 1 from the bottom of  $\lambda$ , attaching them to the first row of  $\lambda$ , and then increasing it by 1. It is obvious that  $f(\lambda) \in B(n+1)$ , and that every partition in  $B(n+1)$  can be written as  $f(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda' \in B(n)$ , and that  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = k + 1$ , where  $\lambda_2$  is taken to be 0 if  $\lambda = (n)$ . Then it is reasonably obvious that there are exactly  $k$  different partitions of  $n - 1$  whose image under  $f$  is equal to  $\lambda'$ . Write  $s(n)$  for the mean value of  $k$ , as the partition  $\lambda'$  ranges over all elements of  $B(n)$ . Finally, write  $r(n) = c(n)/p(n - 1)$ . Then we see that

$$p(n) = p(n - 1) + \frac{p(n - 1)}{s(n)} + r(n)p(n - 1) = p(n - 1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{s(n)} + r(n) \right).$$

Now, to get a lower bound on  $p(n)/p(n - 1)$ , we simply need a lower bound on  $r(n)$  and an upper bound on  $s(n)$ . A perfectly good lower bound on  $r(n)$  is zero, and from the discussion in the previous paragraph, an upper bound on  $s(n)$  is  $n - 1$ . Thus

$$p(n) \geq p(n - 1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n - 1} \right).$$

If  $n \geq 8$  then  $r(n) > 0$ , and so for  $n \geq 8$ , this inequality is strict. In fact,  $s(n) < n - 1$  if  $n \geq 4$ , and so, for  $n \geq 4$ , we have

$$\frac{p(n)}{p(n - 1)} > \frac{n}{n - 1},$$

as we needed.

The bounds on  $r(n)$  and  $s(n)$  given above are extremely crude. With more work, one can get sharper bounds, although this does not seem to generate a proof of smaller cases of the monotonicity conjecture.

## References

- [1] Andrews, George, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1976.
- [2] Granville, Andrew and Ono, Ken, *Defect zero  $p$ -blocks for finite simple groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 331–347.
- [3] James, Gordon and Kerber, Adalbert, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 16, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981.
- [4] Stanton, Dennis, *Open Positivity Conjectures for Integer Partitions*, Trends Math. **2** (1999) 19–25 (electronic).