Tilings in graphs and directed graphs

Andrew Treglown

University of Birmingham

January 2018

Includes joint work with Andrzej Czygrinow, Louis DeBiasio and Theo Molla and; József Balogh and Adam Zsolt Wagner.

- An *H*-tiling in *G* is a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of *H* in *G*.
- An *H*-tiling is perfect if it covers all vertices in *G*.





- Perfect *H*-tilings sometimes called *H*-factors, *H*-matchings or perfect *H*-packings.
- If $H = K_2$ then perfect *H*-tiling \iff perfect matching.
- Decision problem *NP*-complete (Hell and Kirkpatrick 1983).
- Sensible to look for simple sufficient conditions.

(4月) イヨト イヨト



Theorem (Hajnal, Szemerédi 1970)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n and

$$\delta(G) \ge (1-1/r) n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-tiling.

- Corrádi and Hajnal (1964) proved triangle case.
- Easy to see minimum degree condition tight.

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

Theorem (Alon and Yuster 1996)

Let H be a graph with $\chi(H) = r$. Suppose G graph, |G| = n where |H||n and

$$\delta(G) \geq (1 - 1/r + o(1))n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect H-tiling.

- Result best-possible up to error term o(1)n for many graphs
 H (though not best possible for many graphs!)
- Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi '01 replaced error term with a constant dependent on *H*.
- Kühn and Osthus '09 characterised, up to an additive constant, δ(G) that forces perfect H-tiling for any H.

→ 同 → → 目 →

RR 40

Our digraphs are allowed "double edges".



周▶ ▲ 臣▶

< ≣⇒

The Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for directed graphs



- Minimum semi-degree $\delta^0(G) := \min\{\delta^+(G), \delta^-(G)\}$
- Minimum total degree δ(G) = minimum number of edges incident to a vertex in G
- Tournament: orientation of a complete graph



- T_r = transitive tournament on r vertices
- $C_3 = \text{cyclic triangle}$

Theorem (T. 2016)

G large digraph, |G| = n where r|n. Let *T* be tournament on *r* vertices.

$$\delta^0(G) \geq (1-1/r)n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T-tiling.

- Minimum semi-degree condition best-possible.
- Earlier, Czygrinow, Kierstead and Molla gave approximate result when $T = C_3$.
- Result implies the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for large graphs.

→ 同 → → 三 →

Theorem (T. 2016)

G large digraph, |G| = n where r|n. Let *T* be tournament on *r* vertices.

$$\delta^0(G) \geq (1-1/r)n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T-tiling.

- Natural to ask if we can replace condition here with $\delta(G) \ge 2(1-1/r)n-1$.
- However, a result of Wang shows we cannot do this for $T = C_3$.

→ 同 → → 三 →



Theorem (Czygrinow, DeBiasio, Kierstead and Molla 2015)

G digraph, |G| = n where r|n.

$$\delta(G) \geq 2(1-1/r)n-1$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T_r-tiling.

- Minimum degree condition best-possible.
- Implies the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem.

周▶ ▲ 臣▶

Theorem (Czygrinow, DeBiasio, Molla and T. 2016+)

Let $r \ge 4$, and T be tournament on r vertices. If G large digraph, |G| = n where $r|n \ s.t.$

$$\delta(G) \geq 2(1-1/r)n-1$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T-tiling.

- Degree condition best-possible.
- Actually, we prove a signifcantly stronger result.

A (1) < (1)</p>

Theorem (Czygrinow, DeBiasio, Molla and T. 2016+)

Let $r \ge 4$. If G large digraph, |G| = n where r|n s.t.

 $\delta(G) \geq 2(1-1/r)n-1$

 \Rightarrow *G* contains *n*/*r* vertex-disjoint subdigraphs each of which contains every tournament on *r* vertices.

• We prove this result by translating the problem into the multigraph setting.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The Erdős–Renyi graph G_{n,p} has:

- Vertex set $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\};$
- Each edge is present with probability *p*, independently of all other choices.

Given a graph H define

 $d(H) := rac{e(H)}{|H| - 1}$ and $d^*(H) := \max\{d(H') : H' \subseteq H, |H'| \ge 2\}.$

If d(H') < d(H) for all $H' \subset H$ we say H is strictly balanced.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The Erdős–Renyi graph $G_{n,p}$ has:

- Vertex set $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\};$
- Each edge is present with probability *p*, independently of all other choices.

Given a graph H define

$$d(H):=rac{e(H)}{|H|-1} \quad ext{and} \quad d^*(H):=\max\{d(H'): H'\subseteq H, |H'|\geq 2\}.$$

If d(H') < d(H) for all $H' \subset H$ we say H is strictly balanced.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The Erdős–Renyi graph $G_{n,p}$ has:

- Vertex set $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\};$
- Each edge is present with probability *p*, independently of all other choices.

Given a graph H define

$$d(H):=rac{e(H)}{|H|-1} \quad ext{and} \quad d^*(H):=\max\{d(H'): H'\subseteq H, |H'|\geq 2\}.$$

If d(H') < d(H) for all $H' \subset H$ we say H is strictly balanced.

Given a graph H define

 $d(H) := \frac{e(H)}{|H| - 1}$ and $d^*(H) := \max\{d(H') : H' \subseteq H, |H'| \ge 2\}.$

If d(H') < d(H) for all $H' \subset H$ we say H is strictly balanced.

Theorem (Johansson, Kahn and Vu 2008)

H strictly balanced, e(H) = m. Let n be divisible by |H|.

- If p ≫ n^{-1/d(H)}(log n)^{1/m} then a.a.s. G_{n,p} contains a perfect H-tiling.
- If p ≪ n^{-1/d(H)}(log n)^{1/m} then a.a.s. G_{n,p} does not contain a perfect H-tiling.

Gerke and McDowell (2015) resolved case of 'nonvertex-balanced' graphs *H*. (Threshold = $n^{-1/d^*(H)}$.)

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Bohman, Frieze and Martin (2003) introduced the concept of a randomly perturbed dense graph.

Framework:

- Start with any *n*-vertex G with $\delta(G) \ge \alpha n \ (\alpha > 0 \text{ fixed})$.
- Consider $G \cup G_{n,p}$
- For which values of p does G ∪ G_{n,p} a.a.s. contain some substructure?

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Threshold known for:

- Hamiltonicity (Bohman, Frieze and Martin 2003)
- Fixed spanning trees of bounded degree (Krivelevich, Kwan and Sudakov 2017)
- Fixed bounded degree spanning subgraph (Böttcher, Montgomery, Parczyk and Person 2017+)

Also generalised to digraph and hypergraph setting (Krivelevich, Kwan and Sudakov; McDowell and Mycroft)

Theorem (Balogh, T., Wagner 2017+)

Let H and $\alpha > 0$ be fixed. There is a $C = C(\alpha, H) > 0$ s.t. if $p \ge Cn^{-1/d^*(H)}$ and G is an n-vertex graph with

 $\delta(G) \ge \alpha n$

- \implies a.a.s. $G \cup G_{n,p}$ contains a perfect H-tiling.
 - Note *H* doesn't have to be strictly balanced.
 - Save a log-term here compared to Johansson–Kahn–Vu.
 - Probability threshold best possible.



Digraphs:

- Perfect *H*-tilings in digraphs where *H* is not a tournament
- Perfect tilings in oriented graphs (very little known!!)

Random perturbed dense graphs:

- Lower our linear minimum degree term?
- What about randomly perturbed 'very dense' graphs?