Tight minimum degree conditions forcing perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs

Andrew Treglown

University of Birmingham

11th September 2013

Joint work with Yi Zhao (Georgia State)

Advertisement: Birmingham Fellowship

- A Birmingham Fellowship in Combinatorics will be advertised in the near future.
- These are permanent positions: essentially you are appointed as a Lecturer/Senior Lecturer, but have a light teaching load (and no admin!) for the first five years to focus on excellent research.
- After five years you become a standard Lecturer/Senior Lecturer.
- If you are interested, please ask me for more information.

Characterising graphs with perfect matchings

- Hall's Theorem characterises all those bipartite graphs with perfect matchings.
- Tutte's Theorem characterises all those graphs with perfect matchings.



Perfect matchings in k-uniform hypergraphs

- for $k \geq 3$ decision problem NP-complete (Garey, Johnson '79)
- Natural to look for simple sufficient conditions



minimum ℓ-degree conditions

- H k-uniform hypergraph, $1 \leq \ell < k$
- $d_H(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) = \#$ edges containing $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$
- minimum ℓ-degree $\delta_\ell(H) =$ minimum over all $d_H(v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$
- $\delta_1(H) =$ minimum vertex degree
- $\delta_{k-1}(H) =$ minimum codegree

minimum ℓ-degree conditions

- H k-uniform hypergraph, $1 \leq \ell < k$
- $d_H(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) = \#$ edges containing $v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$
- minimum ℓ-degree $\delta_\ell(H)$ = minimum over all $d_H(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell)$
- $\delta_1(H)$ = minimum vertex degree
- $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ = minimum codegree

minimum ℓ-degree conditions

- H k-uniform hypergraph, $1 \leq \ell < k$
- $d_H(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) = \#$ edges containing $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$
- minimum ℓ-degree $\delta_\ell(H)$ = minimum over all $d_H(v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$
- $\delta_1(H)$ = minimum vertex degree
- $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ = minimum codegree



$\delta_1(H) = 2$ and $\delta_2(H) = 1$

minimum vertex degree results

Theorem (Khan and Kühn, Osthus and T. (2013))

$\exists\, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t if H 3-uniform, $n := |H| \geq n_0$ and

$$\delta_1(H) > \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{2n/3}{2}$$

then H contains a perfect matching.

- Hán, Person and Schacht (2009) proved asymptotic version
- Minimum vertex degree condition tight



$\delta_1(H) = \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{2n/3}{2}$

no perfect matching

More recent developments

- Khan (2011+) determined the exact minimum vertex degree which forces a perfect matching in a 4-uniform hypergraph.
- Alon, Frankl, Huang, Rödl, Ruciński, Sudakov (2012) gave asymptotically exact threshold for 5-uniform hypergraphs.
- No other *exact* vertex degree results are known. (Best known general bounds are due to Kühn, Osthus and Townsend (2013+).)

Theorem (Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi (2009))

H k-uniform hypergraph, $|H| = n$ *sufficiently large,* $k|n$

$$\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq n/2 \implies \textit{perfect matching}$$

- In fact, they gave exact minimum codegree threshold that forces a perfect matching.

Type 1



$\delta_{k-1}(H) \approx |H|/2$ but no perfect matching

Type 2



$\delta_{k-1}(H) \approx |H|/2$ but no perfect matching

minimum ℓ-degree conditions

Theorem (Pikhurko (2008))

Suppose H k-uniform hypergraph on n vertices and $k/2 \le \ell \le k-1$.

$$\delta_\ell(H) \ge (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell} \implies \text{perfect matching}$$

- Previous examples shows result essentially best-possible.

Let $\delta(n,k,\ell)$ denote the max. value of $\delta_\ell(H)$ amongst all k-uniform hypergraphs H on n vertices of Type 1 or 2.

Theorem (T., Zhao (2013))

Let n be sufficiently large. Suppose H k-uniform hypergraph on n vertices and $k/2 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

$$\delta_\ell(H) > \delta(n,k,\ell) \implies \textit{perfect matching}$$

- Our result makes Pikhurko's exact.
- Our result implies the theorem of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi.

Proof overview

We will only consider the case of 4-uniform hypergraphs and minimum 2-degree.

- H 4-uniform on n vertices and $\delta_2(H) > \delta(n, 4, 2)$

Absorbing sets

Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll 1$.

- $S \subseteq V(H)$ an absorbing set if
  - $|S| = \gamma n$ and $H[S]$ contains a perfect matching
  - $H[S \cup Q]$ has a perfect matching for *any* set $Q \subseteq V(H)$ s.t. $|Q| \leq \varepsilon n$.

Theorem (Markström and Ruciński (2011))

Suppose H 4-uniform on n vertices

$$\delta_2(H) \geq \left(\frac{7}{16} + o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2} \implies$$

H contains matching covering all but $\sqrt{n}$ vertices.

Our proof is therefore easy if we have an absorbing set:

- Find absorbing set S in H
- Find a matching M in $H - S$ covering almost all vertices
- Absorb uncovered vertices using S to obtain perfect matching

One can show that there is an absorbing set if:

(i) $\forall\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$, $\exists\ (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \geq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) $\exists\ o(1)n^2$ pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ of "large degree", i.e. $d_H(xy) \geq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.

We can therefore assume (i) and (ii) fail.

We then show that this means H is close to one of the extremal hypergraphs (Type 1 or 2).

G



- $(ab)(cd) \in E(G) \iff abcd \in E(H)$

(i) $\exists\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.



(i) $\exists\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.



(i) $\exists\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.



(i) $\exists\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.



(i) $\exists\, xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.



(i) $\exists\ xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $\exists \geq (1/2 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ tuples $ab \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ s.t. $|N_H(xy) \cap N_H(ab)| \leq o(1)n^2$ *or*

(ii) almost all pairs $xy \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ are s.t. $d_H(xy) \leq (1/2 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.





- Now use this structure in G to conclude H is close to one of the extremal examples. (Needs quite a bit of work!)
- Then minimum 2-degree condition forces a perfect matching.

Open problems

- Characterise the minimum vertex degree that forces a perfect matching in a k-uniform hypergraph for $k \geq 5$.
- What about minimum ℓ-degree conditions for k-uniform H where $1 < \ell < k/2$?
  (Alon, Frankl, Huang, Rödl, Ruciński, Sudakov have some such results.)