Perfect packings in graphs and directed graphs

Andrew Treglown

University of Birmingham, School of Mathematics

3rd February

向下 イヨト イヨト

Question

How many edges must a graph G contain to guarantee it contains a copy of H?

Theorem (Mantel 1907)

The densest triangle-free graph on n vertices is the complete balanced bipartite graph.

• Turán's theorem (1941) generalises this to all complete graphs.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

2

Theorem (Erdős, Stone 1946)

Given $\eta > 0$, if G graph on sufficiently large n number of vertices and

$$e(G) \geq \left(1 - rac{1}{\chi(H) - 1} + \eta\right) rac{n^2}{2}$$

then $H \subseteq G$.

(4回) (1日) (日)

Perfect packings in graphs

- An *H*-packing in *G* is a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of *H* in *G*.
- An *H*-packing is perfect if it covers all vertices in *G*.



- Perfect *H*-packings sometimes called *H*-factors or perfect *H*-tilings.
- If $H = K_2$ then perfect *H*-packing \iff perfect matching.
- Decision problem *NP*-complete (Hell and Kirkpatrick '83).
- Problem of determining largest *H*-packing *APX*-hard (Kann '94). (That is, impossible to approximate optimum solution within an arbitrary factor unless P = NP.)
- Sensible to look for simple sufficient conditions.

Theorem (Hajnal, Szemerédi '70)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n and

$$\delta(G) \ge (r-1) n/r$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-packing.

- Corrádi and Hajnal ('64) proved triangle case
- Kierstead, Kostochka, Mydlarz and Szemerédi '10 found 'fast' algorithmic proof (O(rn²) running time)

向下 イヨト イヨト

• Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem best possible.



 $\delta(G) = 2m - 1 = 2n/3 - 1$ no perfect K₃-packing

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4

æ

• Although condition on $\delta(G)$ in Hajnal-Szemerédi is best possible, we can still ask for more general results!

Theorem (Kierstead, Kostochka '08)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n and

$$d(x) + d(y) \ge 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - 1 \quad \forall \text{ non-adjacent } x, y$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-packing.

- Result implies Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem.
- Theorem best possible.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Conjecture (Balogh, Kostochka and T. '13)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n with degree sequence $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ such that:

(
$$\alpha$$
) $d_i \ge (r-2)n/r + i$ for all $i < n/r$;

(
$$\beta$$
) $d_{n/r+1} \ge (r-1)n/r$.

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-packing.

- If true, stronger than Hajnal-Szemerédi since *n*/*r* vertices allowed 'small' degree.
- If true, 'best possible'.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Balogh, Kostochka, T.: true if no 'small' degree vertex lies in K_{r+1} .
- We also proved other related results.

Theorem (T. '14+)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n with degree sequence $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ such that:

•
$$d_i \ge (r-2)n/r + i + o(1)n$$
 for all $i < n/r$.

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-packing.

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と

Theorem (Alon and Yuster '96)

Let H be a graph with $\chi(H) = r$. Suppose G graph, |G| = n where |H||n and

$$\delta(G) \geq (1 - 1/r + o(1))n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect H-packing.

- Result best-possible up to error term o(1)n for many graphs H.
- Proof algorithmic $(O(n^{2.376})$ running time)
- Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi '01 replaced error term with a constant dependent on *H*.
- Kühn and Osthus '09 characterised, up to an additive constant, δ(G) that forces perfect H-packing for any H.

伺 とう きょう とう とう

Theorem (T. '14+)

Let *H* be a graph with $\chi(H) = r$. Suppose *G* graph, |G| = n where |H||n and with degree sequence $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ such that:

•
$$d_i \ge (r-2)n/r + i + o(1)n$$
 for all $i < n/r$.

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect H-packing.

- Answers another conjecture of Balogh, Kostochka, T.
- Generalises the Alon-Yuster theorem
- For many *H*, degree sequence condition 'best possible'.

(4月) イヨト イヨト

Versions of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for directed graphs

Our digraphs are allowed "double edges".



< ∃ >

Versions of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for directed graphs

What is a natural analogue of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for directed graphs?

- Minimum semi-degree $\delta^0(G) := \min\{\delta^+(G), \delta^-(G)\}$
- Tournament: orientation of a complete graph





- T_r = transitive tournament on r vertices
- $C_3 = \text{cyclic triangle}$

æ

A guess for an extremal example...

Let T be a tournament on 3 vertices.



$$\delta^0(G) = 2m - 1 = 2n/3 - 1$$

no perfect *T*-packing

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と

Theorem (T. + 13)

G large digraph, |G| = n where r|n. Let *T* be tournament on *r* vertices.

$$\delta^0(G) \geq (1-1/r)n$$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T-packing.

- Our guess was right in this case: minimum semi-degree condition best-possible.
- Earlier, Czygrinow, Kierstead and Molla gave approximate result when $T = C_3$.
- Result implies the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for large graphs.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Surprisingly, there is an extra extremal example when $T = C_3$.



 $\delta^0(G) = 2m - 2 = 2n/3 - 2$ no perfect C₃-packing

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Theorem

G large digraph, |G| = n where 3|n.

 $\delta^0(G) \geq 2n/3$

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect T₃-packing.

Absorbing sets: A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an absorbing set for $Q \subseteq V(G)$ if both G[S] and $G[S \cup Q]$ contain perfect T_3 -packings.

- Suppose we find a 'small' set S that absorbs any 'very small' set Q ⊆ V(G) (where 3||Q|).
- Then it suffices to show $G \setminus S$ contains an 'almost' perfect T_3 -packing.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Problem: May not be able to find such an absorbing set!



Cannot absorb any 3 vertices from same class

• E • • E •

Proof overview in T_3 case

However, if G is 'non-extremal' then can find an absorbing set.

Lemma 1

OTFH:

(i) G is extremal (contains an almost independent set of size n/3).

(ii) G contains an absorbing set S.

Proof:

- (0) Assume (i) doesn't hold.
- For each x, y ∈ V(G), find many 'connecting structures' between x and y.
- (2) Use these connecting structures to find 'local' absorbing sets.
- (3) Randomly select 'local' absorbing sets to obtain S.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Lemma 2

G is extremal (contains an almost independent set of size $n/3) \Rightarrow$ G contains a perfect T₃-packing.

Proof: Easy!

Lemma 3

G is non-extremal $\Rightarrow G \setminus S$ contains an 'almost' perfect $T_3\text{-packing.}$

Proof: Turn problem into one about hypergraph matchings

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Main work is in finding absorbing lemmas.
- Depending on structure of tournament *T*, we need different arguments.
- Hardest case is C₃ case as there are two extremal examples now.

伺い イヨト イヨト

• Prove the degree sequence Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem exactly

Conjecture (Balogh, Kostochka and T. '13)

G graph, |G| = n where r|n with degree sequence $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ such that:

(α) $d_i \ge (r-2)n/r + i$ for all i < n/r; (β) $d_{n/r+1} \ge (r-1)n/r$.

 \Rightarrow G contains a perfect K_r-packing.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Open problems

Given any graph H define c_H to be the smallest number such that every graph G on n vertices with $\delta(G) \ge c_H n$ contains a perfect H-packing.

Question

Let $\gamma > 0$. Given a graph G on n vertices and with $\delta(G) \ge (c_H - \gamma)n$ is the decision problem whether G contains a perfect H-packing NP-complete?

- Kühn and Osthus answered question in affirmative for complete *r*-partite graphs.
- Look for analogue of Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem in the oriented graph setting.
- Balogh, Lo and Molla answered problem for transitive triangles.

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Open problems

Given any graph H define c_H to be the smallest number such that every graph G on n vertices with $\delta(G) \ge c_H n$ contains a perfect H-packing.

Question

Let $\gamma > 0$. Given a graph G on n vertices and with $\delta(G) \ge (c_H - \gamma)n$ is the decision problem whether G contains a perfect H-packing NP-complete?

- Kühn and Osthus answered question in affirmative for complete *r*-partite graphs.
- Look for analogue of Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem in the oriented graph setting.
- Balogh, Lo and Molla answered problem for transitive triangles.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6